



22nd International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Guadalajara, Spain

PARIS OBSERVATORY LUNAR ANALYSIS CENTER:

from LLR predictions to tests of fundamental Physics



A. Bourgoin¹, S. Bouquillon^{1,2}, A. Hees¹, C. Le Poncin-Lafitte¹, G. Francou¹, M.-C. Angonin¹, T. Carlucci¹, J. Chabé³, C. Courde³, J.-M. Torre³, H. Mariey³, D. H. Phung³, and M. Aimar³.

¹ SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, UPMC, Univ. Paris 06, Paris, France, ²Laboratorio Franco-Chileno de astronomía (IRL-3386), Las Condes, Región metropolitana, Chile, ³ Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur. Géoazur. Université de Nice Sonhia-Antinolis. Caussols, France,

November 11th, 2022

POLAC : brief history to recent activities



Paris Observatory Lunar Analysis Center ILRS lunar analysis center since 1997 [http://polac.obspm.fr]

SYRTE (UMR 8630), Observatoire de Paris (ILRS)



Brief history :

- Founding members : J. Chapront, M. Chapront-Touzé, and G. Francou
- Current members : S. Bouquillon, A. Bourgoin, and G. Francou
- Support members : T. Carlucci, A. Hees, and C. Le Poncin-Lafitte
- Numerical tools :
 - \implies **ELP** : semi-analytical series (orbital and rotational motion)
 - ⇒ CAROLL : LLR data reduction software
- Fields of research : celestial reference frames, Earth orientation parameters, tidal effects, etc.

Ourrent activities :

- Day to day tasks : collect, distribution, and LLR data processing
- Support for LLR observers : prediction and validation tools for ranging experiments
- Numerical tools :
 - \implies ELPN : numerical lunar solution (orbital and rotational motion)
 - \implies CAROLL : updated to receive ELPN solutions
- Fields of research : tests of fundamental physics, modeling of tropospheric delays, etc.

Official predictions for ILRS since 2019



Prediction for future LLR Observations :

Ephemerides :	ELI	P96
Sites :	GRASSE	\bigcirc
Targets :	APOLLO 15	0
Year :	2022	0
Month :	11	0
Day :	4	0
Hour :	20	0
Minute :	30	GO
Second :	0	0
Step :	30 (min)	0
Number of Points :	20	6
Temperature (°C) :	Default (7.2)	0
Pressure (hPa) :	Default (875.3)	0
Humidity (%) :	Default (53)	0
Wavelength (nm) :	Default (532)	٢

LLR SERVICE / PREDICTION - Ref : ELP96 #2101.00 AJUST ELP96 2018 ICR
Site : GRASSE
Target : APOLLO 15
Pressure : 875.3 milliBar
Temperature : 7.2 degrees Celsius
Humidity : 53.0 %
Wavelength : 0.532 micrometers
RESULTS TPF (TOPOCENTRIC PREDICTION FORMAT) :
/ Number / Date / Time (UTC) / Modified Julian Date at 0h / Seconds of
Day (UTC) /
/ Rectangular coordinates X, Y, Z in the Equatorial Frame J2000
(meter) /
/ Right Ascension (degree) / Declination (degree) /
/ Azimuth (degree) / Zenith Distance (degree) /
/ Light Time for the reflectors (second) /
00001 0000/11/04 00-00 F0007 72000 0 071F744F1 2FF 1000070 0F0
00001 2022/11/04 20:30:00 5988/ /3800.0 3/15/4451.355 -10983/0.958
-30993238.200 0.120101 -4.04238/ 33/.820434 48.419309
2.40/49/910/11 00002 2022/11/04 21.00.00 E0007 75600 0 271715150 007 - 40045 257
00002 2022/11/04 21:00:00 3900/ /3000.0 3/1/15150.90/ -49045.25/
-30143213.234 0.201334 -4.3112// /.020003 40.341344 2 407060011600
00003 2022/11/04 21.20.00 50007 77400 0 271025025 001 1000244 407
2/302/04.012 0.444/04 -4.5/5005 1/.254000 45.55000/
00004 2022/11/04 22:00:00 59887 79200 0 372204789 883 2083731 023
20004 2022/11/04 22:00:00 39007 79200:0 372204709:003 2003731:023

Download Prediction with cpf format (right click and save as ...)

Download Prediction with tpf format (right click and save as ...)

Validation of past LLR Observations :

Ephemerides : 🔿 ELP96 🔿 ELPMPP02 🧿 ELPN01	
	LLR SERVICE / RESIDUALS - Ref : ELPN01 #
	00001 1987/10/12 23h 31m 17c4869161 Tunokhod 2 Grasse _0 032 m
Please, enter your LLR normal points in the area below :	00002 1987/10/12 23h 50m 04s8732587 Lunokhod 2 Grasse 0.052 m
5119871012233117486916126297157660987401910 6 05201105 85300 50 0 5320a	0.349 ns
5119871012235004873258726280567766329401910 4 07608 35 85300 5072 5320a	00003 1987/10/13 01h 13m 07s0531171 Lunokhod 2 Grasse -0.011 m
5119871013011307053117126217469840300401910 9 05709 67 85300 5255 5320a	-0.071 ns
5119871013014819685043226197305667975401910 9 05409 60 85300 5255 5320a	00004 1987/10/13 01h 48m 19s6850432 Lunokhod 2 Grasse -0.001 m
51198710130215559508215326184811/43533401910 12 05805100 85300 5055 5320a	-0.006 ns
5119871013032007786105626168512771693401910 7 06006 36 85300 5055 5320a	00005 1987/10/13 02h 15m 59s9082153 Lunokhod 2 Grasse -0.074 m
5119871013034055826281126167279062366401910 6 06401 21 85300 5055 5320a	-0.495 ns
5119871013235221763810426539151442103401910 6 5300 42 85700 10053 5320a	00006 1987/10/13 02h 32m 52s6264343 Lunokhod 2 Grasse -0.037 m
5119871014041711895746926365591980764401910 3 6100450 85700 9658 5320a 🖉	-0.250 ns
	00007 1987/10/13 03h 20m 0/s7861056 Lunokhod 2 Grasse -0.126 m
GO	
	00008 1987/10/13 03n 40m 5588262811 Lunoknod 2 Grasse -0.162 m
Clear	-1.004 Hg 0.000 1997/10/13 23b 52m 21e7638104 Tupokhod 2 Graego 0.002 m
	0.011 ns
Generate an exemple of LLR Normal Points file with format MINI	00010 1987/10/14 04h 17m 11s8957469 Lunokhod 2 Grasse -0.065 m
	-0.433 ns
Generate an exemple of LLR Normal Points file with format CSTG	00011 1987/10/14 04h 47m 34s1722824 Lunokhod 2 Grasse -0.115 m
	-0.764 ns
Congrate an exemple of LLR Normal Points file with format CPD	00012 1987/10/17 04h 09m 01s1112443 Apollo 15 Grasse 0.109 m
Generate an exempte of ELK Normal Points me with format CRD	0.726 ns
	00013 1987/10/17 04h 30m 47s4253015 Apollo 15 Grasse 0.143 m

(O-C) graphics interface (O-C) graphics interface Test (D3+MG)

POLAC, a partner of the Grasse LLR station



Stations de Télémétrie Laser ILRS lunar and satellite data center [https://meo.cnrs.fr]

Géoazur (UMR 7329), Université Côte d'Azur, OCA



Grasse LLR station in brief :

- Current members : J. Chabé, C. Courde, J.-M. Torre, H. Mariey, M. Aimar, D. H. Phung, etc.
- Founding and past members : J.-F. Mangin, E. Samain, C. Veillet, etc.
- The oldest still in activity : 1984-1986 (Rubis), 1986-2006 (YAG), 2009-2022 (MéO, green and IR)
- The most active : more than 50% of LLR NPs

WéO station highlights : (cf. presentations by H. Mariey, D. H. Phung, and J. Chabé)

- Since 2015 : link budget improved thanks to IR and new optical tuning of MéO telescope
 - \implies <u>homogeneous</u> observations of <u>all</u> retroreflectors





Echos at $-0.5 \ \mu s$ from Hayabusa2

- Sept. 2020 : two-way laser ranging to LRO (NASA Goddard)
- Dec. 2020 : laser ranging to Hayabusa2 on 6 millions of km (JAXA)

[Chabé et. al, ESS (2020)]

[https://meo.cnrs.fr] ◀ □ ▶ ◀

 $[\]implies$ observations <u>all along</u> the <u>lunar cycle</u>

• Hindsight analysis :

⇒ avoid issues (e.g., calibration, NPs format, etc.) before insertion into ILRS database

• Prediction for ranging to artificial satellites :

 \implies LRO two-way laser ranging campaign

[Mazarico et. al, EPS (2020)]

• Improvement of prediction and validation tools to support LLR observers :

⇒ scheduling of observations to reach scientific objectives (method developed for observations of stars around Sgr A*) [Hees *et. al*, **APJ** (2019)]

 \implies adding <u>new LLR stations</u> and <u>new retroreflectors</u>

[Porcelli et. al, NAS (2021)]

• Precision of LLR NPs and residuals

• Improvement in modeling tropospheric delay :

 \implies <u>covariant formalism</u> based on <u>TTF</u> and <u>optical metric</u> (recently applied for radio atmospheric occultations experiments)

[Bourgoin, **PRD** (2020); Bourgoin *et al.*, **A&A** (2021); Bourgoin *et al.*, **ASR** (2022)] \implies see also J. Chabé's presentation (atmospheric turbulence)

• Improvement in testing fundamental physics :

 \implies impact of <u>IR observations</u> on test of the SEP

 \implies tests of Lorentz symmetry (gravity sector, matter sector, mass dimension 5)

[Bourgoin et al., PRL (2016); Bourgoin et al., PRL (2017); Bourgoin et al., PRD (2021)]





• ELPN : a numerical lunar solution

 \implies barycentric solution for center-of-masses

- \implies quadruple precision, more than 8500 Eqs.
- CAROLL : a fitting procedure
 - ⇒ turns ELPN's predictions into a UTC computed light-time ⇒ finds ELPN's parameters minimising LLR residuals



TABLE III. ELPN (in pure GR) postfit residuals per LLR station and instrument. The mean and the standard deviation of the residuals are denoted by μ and σ , respectively. For each station or instrument, N is the number of available observations and N_r the number of rejected observations (> 3σ).

Station (instrument)	Period	Ν	N_r	μ (cm)	σ (cm)
McDonald (2.7-m)	1969–1985	3604	92	14.0	34.7
McDonald (MLRS1)	1983-1988	631	74	7.3	29.3
McDonald (MLRS2)	1988-2015	3670	467	-1.0	5.5
Grasse (Rubis)	1984-1986	1188	21	4.5	16.0
Grasse (Yag)	1987-2005	8324	51	0.0	4.1
Grasse (MeO green)	2009-2018	1937	23	0.2	1.8
Grasse (MeO IR)	2015-2018	3837	25	-0.2	1.7
Haleakala	1984–1990	770	23	-2.8	8.1
Matera	2003-2018	224	15	-0.4	4.7
Apache Point (P1)	2006-2010	941	2	0.9	2.2
Apache Point (P2)	2010-2012	513	15	0.9	2.9
Apache Point (P3)	2012-2013	360	9	0.7	2.3
Apache Point (P4)	2013-2016	834	7	1.0	1.7
Wettzell	2018-2018	22	0	1.7	1.2

Lorentz symmetry in the SME framework

① Total action in gravity and matter sectors : $S_{tot} = S_m + S_{mg} + S_g$

r

[Bailey *et al.*, **PRD** (2006); Kostelecký *et al.*, **PRD** (2011); Bailey *et al.*, **PRD** (2017)]

• Matter:
$$S_m = S_m \left[\Psi_A, g_{\mu\nu}, s^{\mu\nu}, (a_{\text{eff}})_\mu, q^{\mu\rho\alpha\nu\beta\sigma\gamma} \right],$$

• *Matter-gravity couplings* :
$$S_{mg} = -c \int d\lambda (a_{eff})_{\mu} u^{\mu}$$

• Field (dim. 4 and 5):
$$S_g = \frac{c^4}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Big[\underbrace{R + s^{\mu\nu} \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R\right)}_{\text{dim. 4}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}q^{\mu\rho\alpha\nu\beta\sigma\gamma}\nabla_{\beta}R_{\rho\alpha\sigma\gamma}}_{\text{dim. 5}} \Big].$$

Physical implications of Lorentz symmetry breaking :

• Modified field equations (dim. 4 and 5)

 \implies Lorentz symmetry <u>violations</u> in the way <u>spacetime metric</u> is <u>generated by matter fields</u> Ψ_A

 \implies <u>violations of the SEP</u>

• Ψ_A not minimally coupled to $g_{\mu\nu}$ (because of S_{mg})

⇒ Lorentz symmetry violations in the way matter fields is responding to the spacetime metric

 \implies <u>violations of the WEP</u> \implies no geodesics

Onstraints with LLR

[Bourgoin et al., PRL (2016); Bourgoin et al., PRL (2017); Bourgoin et al., PRD (2021)]

- Insertion of Lorentz symmetry violations in ELPN and CAROLL
- LLR data processing within the SME framework

・ロト・1日・1日・1日・1日・20へで

1 Theoretical grounds :

[Bailey et al., PRD (2017)]

 $\mathcal{L}_{g} = \mathcal{L}_{g}^{(4)} + \mathcal{L}_{g}^{(5)} + \dots$ with $\mathcal{L}_{g}^{(5)} = -\frac{c^{4}}{128\pi G}h_{\mu\nu}q^{\mu\rho\alpha\nu\beta\sigma\gamma}\partial_{\beta}R_{\rho\alpha\sigma\gamma}$

- Dimension 4 terms highly studied and constrained with many techniques
- Dimension 5 terms break both Lorentz and CPT symmetries
- The higher the dimension the shorter the range of action \implies better constrained by <u>laboratory experiments</u>
- New phenomenological signatures e.g., two-body system terms $\propto v/r^3 \Longrightarrow \underline{\text{LLR}}$ and $\underline{\text{binary pulsars}}$

[Shao et al., PRD (2018)]

2 Dynamics of the Earth-Moon system :

- 60 independent $q^{\mu\rho\alpha\nu\beta\sigma\gamma}$'s to be constrained ! \implies orbital dynamics provide <u>only 15 canonical</u> K_{jklm} 's
- Equations of motion of the two-body problem :

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 r^j}{\mathrm{d}t^2}\right]_{(d=5)} = \frac{GM}{r^3} \frac{v^k}{c} (15n_{[j}K_{k]lmn}n^l n^m n^n - 3K_{[jk]ll} + 9K_{[jk]lm}n^l n^m - 9n_{[j}K_{k]llm}n^m)$$

• Signatures really different than GR corrections, PPN, violation UFF, LS-breakings of dim. 4, etc.

O LLR data processing :

- ELPN for solving the barycentric motions and CAROLL for the light-time between station and retroreflector
- Fitting <u>83 parameters</u> : Newtonian parameters (degree 2, and 3 of the Moon, etc.) and relativistic ones

Constraints on mass dimension 5 operators

Canonical	Definition	Value and uncertainties (m)
K _{XXXY}	$\frac{1}{3}(-q^{\text{TXYTXTX}} + q^{\text{TXYXYXY}} + q^{\text{TXYXZXZ}} - q^{\text{XYZXZXT}})$	$(+0.7 \pm 0.4 \pm 2.9) \times 10^3$
$K_{\rm XXXZ}$	$\frac{1}{3}(q^{\text{TXYXYXZ}} - q^{\text{TXZTXTX}} + q^{\text{TXZXZXZ}} + q^{\text{XYZXYXT}})$	$(+0.8\pm0.9\pm5.9) imes10^3$
$K_{\rm XXYY}$	$\frac{1}{3}(-2q^{\text{TXYTXTY}}+2q^{\text{TXYXZYZ}}+q^{\text{XYZXYZT}}-2q^{\text{XYZXZYT}})$	$(-0.4 \pm 1.3 \pm 8.4) \times 10^3$
$K_{\rm XXYZ}$	$\frac{1}{6}\left(-2q^{\text{TXYTXTZ}} - 2q^{\text{TXYXYYZ}} - 2q^{\text{TXZTXTY}} + 2q^{\text{TXZXZYZ}} + q^{\text{XYZXYYT}} - q^{\text{XYZXZZT}}\right)$	$(+0.5\pm0.2\pm1.6) imes10^4$
$K_{\rm XXZZ}$	$\frac{1}{3}(-2q^{\text{TXYXZYZ}} - 2q^{\text{TXZTXTZ}} + 2q^{\text{XYZXYZT}} - q^{\text{XYZXZYT}})$	$(-1.9\pm0.6\pm4.1) imes10^4$
$K_{\rm XYYY}$	$-q^{\text{TXYTYTY}} + q^{\text{TXYXYXY}} + q^{\text{TXYYZYZ}} - q^{\text{XYZYZYT}}$	$(-0.7\pm0.3\pm1.2) imes10^4$
$K_{\rm XYYZ}$	$\frac{1}{3}(-2q^{\text{TXYTYTZ}} + 3q^{\text{TXYXYXZ}} - q^{\text{TXZTYTY}} + q^{\text{TXZYZYZ}} - q^{\text{XYZYZZT}})$	$(+4.6 \pm 1.6 \pm 6.9) \times 10^3$
$K_{\rm XYZZ}$	$\frac{1}{3}(-q^{\text{TXYTZTZ}}+3q^{\text{TXYXZXZ}}+q^{\text{TXYYZYZ}}-2q^{\text{TXZTYTZ}}-q^{\text{XYZYZYT}})$	$(-0.2 \pm 0.8 \pm 4.1) imes 10^3$
$K_{\rm XZZZ}$	$-q^{\text{TXZTZTZ}} + q^{\text{TXZXZXZ}} + q^{\text{TXZYZYZ}} - q^{\text{XYZYZZT}}$	$(+1.2\pm0.3\pm1.3) imes10^4$
$K_{\rm YXXZ}$	$\frac{1}{3}(3q^{\text{TXYTXTZ}} + 3q^{\text{TXYXYYZ}} - q^{\text{TXZTXTY}} + q^{\text{TXZXZYZ}} + q^{\text{XYZXZZT}})$	$(+0.1\pm0.3\pm2.3) imes10^4$
$K_{\rm YXYZ}$	$\frac{1}{6}(4q^{TXYTYTZ} - 2q^{TXYXYXZ} - 2q^{TXZTYTY} + 2q^{TXZYZYZ} + q^{XYZXYXT} + q^{XYZYZT})$	$(-4.7\pm0.8\pm4.0) imes10^3$
$K_{\rm YXZZ}$	$\frac{1}{3}(3q^{\text{TXYTZTZ}} - q^{\text{TXYXZXZ}} - 3q^{\text{TXYYZYZ}} - 2q^{\text{TXZTYTZ}} + q^{\text{XYZXZXT}})$	$(-1.6\pm0.5\pm2.4) imes10^3$
$K_{\rm YYYZ}$	$\frac{1}{3}(q^{\text{TXYXYYZ}} - q^{\text{TXZTYTY}} + q^{\text{TYZYZYZ}} + q^{\text{XYZXYYT}})$	$(+0.9\pm0.3\pm1.8) imes10^4$
K _{YYZZ}	$\frac{1}{3}(2q^{\text{TXYXZYZ}} - 2q^{\text{TXZTYTZ}} + q^{\text{XYZXYZT}} + q^{\text{XYZXYZT}})$	$(-1.5\pm 0.5\pm 3.4)\times 10^4$
KYZZZ	$-q^{\text{TXZTZTZ}} + q^{\text{TXZXZYZ}} + q^{\text{TYZYZYZ}} + q^{\text{XYZXZZT}}$	$(-1.2\pm 0.8\pm 5.1)\times 10^4$

TABLE L Definition and estimates of the 15 canonical independent coefficients. Estimates are derived from a global LLR data analysis. A realistic estimate of each canonical SME coefficient x_i is reported such as $x_i \pm \sigma_{\text{stat}}(x_i) \pm \sigma_{\text{syst}}(x_i)$.

• Jackknife resampling method to assess systematic errors on parameter x_i by stations and retroreflectors :

 $\sigma_{\text{real}}^2(x_i) = \sigma_{\text{stat}}^2(x_i) + \sigma_{\text{syst}}^2(x_i)$ with $\sigma_{\text{syst}}^2(x_i) = \sigma_{\text{stat}}^2(x_i) + \sigma_{\text{ref}}^2(x_i)$

• Improvements up to <u>3 orders of magnitues</u> w.r.t. binary pulsars (cf. K_{XXXY} and K_{YXZZ}) $(\Box) \in (\Box) \in (\Box) = (\Box$

TABLE V. Realistic estimates of linear combinations of SME coefficients (see Table IV) from a global LLR data analysis. A realistic estimate of each linear combination c_i is reported such as $c_i \pm \sigma_{\text{stat}}(c_i) \pm \sigma_{\text{syst}}(c_i)$.

Linear combination	Value and uncertainties (m)		
c_1	$(-2.7 \pm 1.1 \pm 7.8) \times 10^4$		
<i>c</i> ₂	$(-0.6\pm0.4\pm1.4) imes10^4$		
<i>c</i> ₃	$(+1.8\pm0.4\pm2.7) imes10^4$		
c_4	$(+3.4 \pm 1.2 \pm 5.9) \times 10^3$		
c_5	$(+3.6 \pm 1.2 \pm 4.6) \times 10^3$		
<i>c</i> ₆	$(+2.4 \pm 0.7 \pm 8.7) \times 10^3$		
<i>c</i> ₇	$(-2.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 2.9) \times 10^3$		
c ₈	$(+0.9 \pm 0.2 \pm 1.6) \times 10^3$		
c_9	$(-2.0\pm0.8\pm2.1) imes10^2$		
c_{10}	$(-3.5 \pm 1.0 \pm 5.6) \times 10^2$		
c_{11}	$(-1.8 \pm 0.9 \pm 5.0) \times 10^2$		
<i>c</i> ₁₂	$(+0.1 \pm 0.2 \pm 2.0) \times 10^3$		
c ₁₃	$(+0.4 \pm 0.1 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{2}$		
c ₁₄	$(-1.0 \pm 0.4 \pm 3.9) \times 10^{2}$		
<i>c</i> ₁₅	$(-0.3 \pm 0.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{2}$		

- <u>High correlations</u> between some of the canonical parameters *K_{jklm}*.
- SVD decomposition to find the <u>independent linear</u> combinations (c) of K_{jklm} 's (x) from the covariance matrix (C) :

$$c = {}^{t}V(x)$$
 with $C = V \circ W \circ {}^{t}V$
and $\sigma_{\text{stat}}^{2}(c_{i}) = W_{ii}$.

⇒ We report <u>no Lorentz or CPT symmetry breaking</u>!

[Bourgoin et al., PRD (2021)]

POLAC : a service dedicated to LLR data processing



Paris Observatory Lunar Analysis Center ILRS lunar analysis center since 1997 http://polac.obspm.fr

SYRTE (UMR 8630), Observatoire de Paris (ILRS)

- Activities : collect, distribution, and LLR data processing
- **2** Support for LLR observers : prediction and validation tools for ranging experiments
- **Official predictions for ILRS since 2019**

Close collaboration with Grasse LLR station :

- ranging to artificial satellites
- preparing new observations on new retroreflectors
- improving the modeling the tropospheric delay
- impact of IR observations on tests of fundamental physics

Secent highlights :

_

- Data analysis of 50 years of observations at the cm level (ELPN in GR)
- In alternative theory of gravity too (ELPN in SME)

Improvements up to **three ordres of magnitude** of SME constraints, all techniques considered





[Bourgoin et al., PRL (2016); Bourgoin et al., PRL (2017); Bourgoin et al., PRD (2021)]