# POLARIMETRIC SATELLITE LASER RANGING

Nils Bartels<sup>a</sup>, Daniel Hampf<sup>a</sup>, Bernhard Heidenreich<sup>b</sup>, Felicitas Niebler<sup>a</sup>, Moritz Vogel<sup>a</sup>, Wolfgang Riede<sup>a</sup>

22<sup>nd</sup> International Workshop on Satellite Laser Ranging, 7-11 November 2022, Yebes, Spain

<sup>a</sup> German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Technical Physics, Stuttgart, Germany <sup>b</sup> German Aerospace Center (DLR), Institute of Structures and Design, Stuttgart, Germany



DLR

# **GOOD MEMORIES**



Daniel Hampf | 2019-11-06

3

## Motivation: Retroreflectors for space traffic management





Figure 3: Number of alerts in a year for a LEO spacecrat (safety sphere with 4.5m radius) as a function of the orbit data quality, for a risk threshold corresponding to 90% risk reduction

- Increasing number of objects in LEO
- 580 collision avoidance maneuvers per year (99% false alters)
- Number of false alters can be reduced by precise tracking (SLR) → satellites need retroreflectors



Figure 1: It can take weeks to months to identify most of the object launches, and in some cases 10 percent to 20 percent may never be identified, even after six months or more. Image used with permission of ESA.

 Ideally, satellites should also be identified, especially after cluster launches of CubeSats

H.Krag et. Al., "Ground-based laser for tracking and remediation – An Architectural view", 69th International Astronautical Congress, 2018.



### Ideas to identify satellites





### Ideas to identify satellites

- How to code an ID into retroreflectors?
  Ideas: Color, Intensity, <u>Polarization</u>
- Use of polarization has been suggested to determine the coordinates of SC moving parts: Useful to identify satellites?



A.S. Akentyev et. al., "Retroreflector complexes to determinate the coordinates of SC moving parts", 21st International Workshop of Laser ranging, 2018.



### Concept

#### Measurement of 4 intensities:

- $I_{1} = I(T_{x} = RC, R_{x} = RC)$   $I_{2} = I(T_{x} = RC, R_{x} = LC)$   $I_{3} = I(T_{x} = LC, R_{x} = RC)$   $I_{4} = I(T_{x} = LC, R_{x} = LC)$   $I_{b} = I_{3} + I_{4}$
- Definition of "symmetry parameters":  $P_1 = \frac{I_a - I_b}{I_a + I_b}, \quad P_2 = \frac{I_1 - I_2}{I_1 + I_2}, \quad P_3 = \frac{I_3 - I_4}{I_3 + I_4}$
- Definition of different retroreflector assemblies with different symmetry parameters; these can be calculated (Mueller calculus):

Table 1 Calculated symmetry parameters for different retroreflector assemblies.						
Assembly	Design <sup>a</sup>	α	<b>P</b> 1	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	Intensities
1 <sup>b</sup>	А	0°	0	1	-1	$(I_1 = I_4) > 0; I_2 = I_3 = 0$
2	А	45°	0	-1	1	$l_1 = l_4 = 0; \ (l_2 = l_3) > 0$
3	В	-45°	—1	$\lim_{\alpha \to -45^{\circ}} P_2(\alpha) = 1$	1	$l_1 = l_4 = l_2 = 0; l_3 > 0$
4	В	—15°	-0.5	0.5	0.5	$l_3 > (l_1 = l_4) > l_2$
5	В	0°	0	0	0	$l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = l_4$
6	В	15°	0.5	-0.5	-0.5	$l_2 > (l_1 = l_4) > l_3$
7	В	45°	1	–1	$\lim_{\alpha \to 45^{\circ}} P_2(\alpha) = -1$	$l_1 = l_4 = l_3 = 0; l_2 > 0$
<sup>a</sup> Design A uses two quarter wave plates whereas design B uses a quarter wave plate and a polarizer mounted to the front face of the retroreflector						

<sup>a</sup>Design A uses two quarter wave plates whereas design B uses a quarter wave plate and a polarizer mounted to the front face of the retrorefl <sup>b</sup>Assembly 1 has the same symmetry parameters as a metal-coated retroreflector without additional polarization optics.

retroreflector assembly



Concept: Emission and detection of right-circular (RC) and leftcircular (LC) polarized light

#### Concept





A satellite can carry more than 1 retroreflector. Signal can be resolved via photon travel time.

Photon travel time / ps



For k = 3 CCRs and n = 7 different assemblies, we would get  $\binom{n+k-1}{k} = 84$  IDs.

Test 1: Measurement of total intensity



a) Calculation



The error bars describe the variation of the symmetry parameter for different incidence angles ( $\theta_i$  and  $\phi$ )



# Test 2: Measurement of polarization-dependent diffraction patterns



Polarimetric measurements and space qualification of polarization optics: Moritz Vogel Moritz.Vogel@dlr.de Example: Far-field diffraction patterns (FFDPs) of assembly 2 at an incidence angle of  $\phi = 30^{\circ}$ .



Measurement of symmetry parameters in the diffracted beam appears feasible.



Test 3: How to generate/detect RC and LC polarized light?

#### PhD topic: Felicitas Niebler Felicitas.Niebler@dlr.de



Polarimetric SLR demonstrator

Initial idea: Switching liquid crystal variable retarders (LCVRs)

**Operating Principle** 



- + easy to integrate into SLR systems
- LCVRs need to be calibrated and temperature stabilized
- Undefined polarization state during switching time
- LCVR on detector needs to be switched later than on the emitter (photon travel time)

Seldomridge, N. L., Shaw, J. A. & Repasky, K. S., "Dual-polarization lidar usinga liquid crystal variable retarder", Opt. Eng. 45, 1–10 (2006)



Test 3: How to generate/detect RC and LC polarized light?

PhD topic: Felicitas Niebler Felicitas.Niebler@dlr.de



Polarimetric SLR demonstrator

Optimized design: Double pulses generated via polarizing beam splitters (PBS)



- Delay line in emitter required (difficult to align?)

- Less energy in single laser pulse due to double pulse generation + no switching times  $\rightarrow$  more photons

+ no calibration, temperature stabilization

#### Conclusions

- We are investigating polarimetric SLR to allow for a simultaneous orbit determination and identification of satellites.
- Questions to ILRS community:
  - Polarimetric SLR targets space traffic management (STM). Any ideas for applications in space geodesy? Attitude dependent center of mass correction?
  - Can a demonstration mission be tracked by the ILRS?
- Questions to industry:
  - Opinion on commercialization of the technology once demonstrated in a space mission?

Check for update

#### communications

engineering

ARTICLE

#### https://doi.org/10.1038/s44172-022-00003-w

Space object identification via polarimetric satellite laser ranging

Nils Bartels<sup>®</sup> <sup>1™</sup>, Paul Allenspacher<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Hampf<sup>1</sup>, Bernhard Heidenreich<sup>2</sup>, Denise Keil<sup>1</sup>, Ewan Schafer<sup>®</sup> <sup>1</sup> & Wolfgang Riede<sup>1</sup>



The presented results have been made possible by great team work with:

Felicitas Niebler, Daniel Hampf, Wolfgang Riede, Moritz Vogel, Paul Wagner (now at DLR Institute of Communication and Navigation), Ewan Schafer (now at Lumi Space, UK), Paul Allenspacher (now retired), Bernhard Heidenreich, DLR Technical workshops

Contact: nils.bartels@dlr.de

13