# Validation & Qualification of Space Debris Laser Systems at the Expert Centre for Space Safety

Julian Rodriguez-Villamizar, Thomas Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland

22<sup>nd</sup> International Workshop on Laser Ranging 7-11 November Yebes, Spain

# Agenda

 Rodriguez-Villamizar, T. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: V & Q of Space Debris Laser Systems at the Expert Centre for Space Safety Novem b( = Spain, IWLR, Yebes,

# • Problem Statement

# Observation Equation

# Example

# Road Map V & Q

Slide 2 of 13

### **Problem Statement**

# How can we determine the quality, performance and stability of a given space debris laser ranging system to ensure an optimal exploitation of the observable?

Rationale: Let's try to split the problem analyzing the error sources of the observable assessing their contribution considering only single passes from a unique station.

**Observation Equation**:

$$\rho_{obs}^{1-way}(t) = ||X^{S}(t) - X_{R}(t)|| + T(*)_{del} + S(*)_{del} + CoM(*) + Rel(*) + Rb(*) + \xi.$$

ightarrow dependencies due to system, target, etc.

Slide 3 of 13

How can we determine the quality, performance and stability of a given space debris laser ranging system to ensure an optimal exploitation of the observable?

Rationale: Let's try to split the problem analyzing the error sources of the observable assessing their contribution considering only single passes from a unique station.

Observation Equation:

$$\rho_{obs}^{1-way}(t) = ||X^{S}(t) - X_{R}(t)|| + T(*)_{del} + S(*)_{del} + CoM(*) + Rel(*) + Rb(*) + \xi.$$

ightarrow dependencies due to system, target, etc.

Slide 3 of 13

How can we determine the quality, performance and stability of a given space debris laser ranging system to ensure an optimal exploitation of the observable?

Rationale: Let's try to split the problem analyzing the error sources of the observable assessing their contribution considering only single passes from a unique station.

Observation Equation:

$$\rho_{obs}^{1-way}(t) = ||X^{S}(t) - X_{R}(t)|| + T(*)_{del} + S(*)_{del} + CoM(*) + Rel(*) + Rb(*) + \xi.$$

 $(*) \rightarrow$  dependencies due to system, target, etc.

Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: r Systems at the Expert Centre for Space Safety Novem b( J. Rodriguez-Villamizar, V & Q of Space Debris l  $22^{nd}$  [WI R V-L

Slide 3 of 13



- How good are the orbits? On average pprox 10 cm ightarrow orbit misclosures
- When no overlap  $\rightarrow$  extrapolated overlap if error <= 5 cm
- Could we use precise predictions
  instead?

Error between CPFs and COMB-B of about 10 cm at same epoch and  $\approx$  30 cm after 3 days

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern **AIUB** 

Slide 4 of 13



- How good are the orbits? On average pprox 10 cm ightarrow orbit misclosures
- When no overlap  $\rightarrow$  extrapolated overlap if error <= 5 cm
- Could we use precise predictions
  instead?

Error between CPFs and COMB-B of about 10 cm at same epoch and  $\approx$  30 cm after 3 days

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern **AIUB** 

Slide 4 of 13

Space Safety



- How good are the orbits? On average  $\approx 10 \text{ cm} \rightarrow \text{orbit misclosures}$
- When no overlap  $\rightarrow$  extrapolated overlap if error <= 5 cm
- Could we use precise predictions
  instead?

Error between CPFs and COMB-B of about 10 cm at same epoch and  $\approx$  30 cm after 3 days



- How good are the orbits? On average  $\approx 10 \text{ cm} \rightarrow \text{orbit} \text{ misclosures}$
- When no overlap  $\rightarrow$  extrapolated overlap if error <= 5 cm
- Could we use precise predictions
  instead?

Error between CPFs and COMB-B of about 10 cm at same epoch and  $\approx$  30 cm after 3 days

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern **AIUB** 

Slide 4 of 13



- How good are the orbits? On average  $\approx 10 \text{ cm} \rightarrow \text{orbit misclosures}$
- When no overlap  $\rightarrow$  extrapolated overlap if error <= 5 cm
- Could we use precise predictions
  instead?

Error between CPFs and COMB-B of about 10 cm at same epoch and  $\approx$  30 cm after 3 days

Slide 4 of 13

### Station Coordinates & Eccentricities

### Coordinates estimated according to ILRS framework:

- Estimate station position, velocities & formal errors associated to a specific reference frame (ideally SLRF2020)
- Include corrections to the station coordinates (post-seismic deformations, tidal effects, etc.) when > 30 cm (predictions)

f sensor prefers to be agnostic to any existing network:

- Consistency with reference frame of the orbit?
- Quality of your coordinates ightarrow as good as you tell us

### Station Coordinates & Eccentricities

Coordinates estimated according to ILRS framework:

- Estimate station position, velocities & formal errors associated to a specific reference frame (ideally SLRF2020)
- Include corrections to the station coordinates (post-seismic deformations, tidal effects, etc.) when > 30 cm (predictions)

f sensor prefers to be agnostic to any existing network:

- Consistency with reference frame of the orbit?
- Quality of your coordinates ightarrow as good as you tell us

Slide 5 of 13

### Station Coordinates & Eccentricities

Coordinates estimated according to ILRS framework:

- Estimate station position, velocities & formal errors associated to a specific reference frame (ideally SLRF2020)
- Include corrections to the station coordinates (post-seismic deformations, tidal effects, etc.) when > 30 cm (predictions)

f sensor prefers to be agnostic to any existing network:

- Consistency with reference frame of the orbit?
- Quality of your coordinates ightarrow as good as you tell us

### Station Coordinates & Eccentricities

Coordinates estimated according to ILRS framework:

- Estimate station position, velocities & formal errors associated to a specific reference frame (ideally SLRF2020)
- Include corrections to the station coordinates (post-seismic deformations, tidal effects, etc.) when > 30 cm (predictions)

### If sensor prefers to be agnostic to any existing network:

- Consistency with reference frame of the orbit?
- Quality of your coordinates ightarrow as good as you tell us

### Station Coordinates & Eccentricities

Coordinates estimated according to ILRS framework:

- Estimate station position, velocities & formal errors associated to a specific reference frame (ideally SLRF2020)
- Include corrections to the station coordinates (post-seismic deformations, tidal effects, etc.) when > 30 cm (predictions)
- If sensor prefers to be agnostic to any existing network:
  - Consistency with reference frame of the orbit?
  - Quality of your coordinates ightarrow as good as you tell us

### Station Coordinates & Eccentricities

Coordinates estimated according to ILRS framework:

- Estimate station position, velocities & formal errors associated to a specific reference frame (ideally SLRF2020)
- Include corrections to the station coordinates (post-seismic deformations, tidal effects, etc.) when > 30 cm (predictions)

If sensor prefers to be agnostic to any existing network:

- Consistency with reference frame of the orbit?
- Quality of your coordinates  $\rightarrow$  as good as you tell us

### Station Coordinates & Eccentricities

Coordinates estimated according to ILRS framework:

- Estimate station position, velocities & formal errors associated to a specific reference frame (ideally SLRF2020)
- Include corrections to the station coordinates (post-seismic deformations, tidal effects, etc.) when > 30 cm (predictions)

If sensor prefers to be agnostic to any existing network:

- Consistency with reference frame of the orbit?
- Quality of your coordinates  $\rightarrow$  as good as you tell us



- Yes, it is a must (models available Marini-Murray & Mendes-Pavlis)
- Dependent on the relative geometry of the observed pass, wavelength & meteorological information of the station

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern **AIUB** 

#### Slide 6 of 13



- Yes, it is a must (models available Marini-Murray & Mendes-Pavlis)
- Dependent on the relative geometry of the observed pass, wavelength & meteorological information of the station

Astronomical Institute, University of Bern **AIUB** 

#### Slide 6 of 13



- Yes, it is a must (models available Marini-Murray & Mendes-Pavlis)
- Dependent on the relative geometry of the observed pass, wavelength & meteorological information of the station

Space Safety

xpert Centre

the



- Agreement between the pulse width (60 psec @ 7810) and dispersion (error bars)
- Stability of the system delays over time
- Consider a longer time span analysis to have a more representative figure

### Center of Mass Correction

- Corrections dependent on target object & system specifications
- For fiducial targets CoM offsets are estimated for stations with technical specifications (see ILRS recommendations)

### How to proceed with completely new sensors?

- Only relevant for validation
- For space debris laser systems, the *standard* CoM for LAGEOS-1 is 25.1 cm (!)



- Sagnac
- Light travel time

Slide 9 of 13

 Rodriguez-Villamizar, T. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: V & Q of Space Debris Laser Systems at the Expert Centre for Space Safety 7-11 November Spain, Yebes, IWLR,  $22^{nd}$ 



Slide 10 of 13

Expert Centre for Space Safety J. Rodriguez-Villamizar, T. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: V & Q of Space Debris Laser Systems at the Expert Cen 7-11 November Spain, Yebes, IWLR,  $22^{nd}$ 



Slide 10 of 13

Expert Centre for Space Safety J. Rodriguez-Villamizar, T. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: V & Q of Space Debris Laser Systems at the Expert Cen 7-11 November Spain, Yebes, IWLR,  $22^{nd}$ 



Slide 10 of 13



#### Slide 11 of 13



#### Slide 11 of 13



Rodriguez-Villamizar, T. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: V & Q of Space Debris Laser Systems at the Expert Centre for Space Safety 22<sup>nd</sup> IWLR, Yebes. Snain 7-11 Macamber-

#### Slide 11 of 13



T. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: Laser Systems at the Expert Centre for Space Safety J. Rodriguez-Villamizar, T V & Q of Space Debris La 22<sup>n d</sup> IWLR. Yehee کسنت

#### Slide 11 of 13



. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: iser Systems at the Expert Centre for Space Safety J. Rodriguez-Villamizar, T V & Q of Space Debris La 22<sup>n d</sup> IWLR. Yehee کسنت

#### Slide 11 of 13



T. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: Laser Systems at the Expert Centre for Space Safety J. Rodriguez-Villamizar, T V & Q of Space Debris La 22<sup>n d</sup> IWLR. Yehee کسنت

Slide 11 of 13



Rodriguez-Villamizar, T. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: V & Q of Space Debris Laser Systems at the Expert Centre for Space Safety 22<sup>nd</sup> IWLR, Yebes. Snain 7-11 Macamber-

Slide 11 of 13

### Road Map V & Q



Slide 12 of 13

Space Safety

Expert Centre for

### Road Map V & Q



Expert Centre for Space Safety Rodriguez-Villamizar, T. Schildknecht, Pierre Lauber: V & Q of Space Debris Laser Systems at the Expert Cer 22<sup>nd</sup> IWLR, Yebes, Spain, 7-11 November

Slide 13 of 13