

Plans and activities within the NASA SLR Operational Network towards meeting ILRS data requirements

NASA

NASA

NASA

NASA

Thomas Varghese, David McCormick, Katherine Stevenson, Randy Ricklefs, Dan O'Gara, Maceo Blount, Ron Sebeny, Yannick Vota, Randall Carman, Lusanda Ntsele, Raul Yanyachi, Claudia Carabajal, Jerry Wiant, Curtis Emerson

ILRS 20th Workshop, Potsdam, Germany, Oct 9-14, 2016

Abstract

NASA's global SLR network maintains its critical presence in North and South America, Tahiti, Hawaii, South Africa, and Australia. These globally distributed legacy SLR stations need to sustain their operational performance over the coming years to provide ILRS support. Robust, cost-effective, and time efficient strategies are needed to maintain a healthy network to meet the ILRS data quality and quantity requirements at these unique long term geodetic locations. This requires a coordinated strategy that involves station engineering, operations, and continued collaborations with NASA's vital international and domestic partners. This poster will address the engineering and operations actions that are currently being employed and those that will be implemented in the near future to maintain station productivity. These unique SLR locations also participate in other scientific activities including space geodetic techniques. This poster will summarize the current network activities in engineering, operations, data collection, collaborations, site-specific activities, and plans.













NASA SLR Network covers a significant part of the Globe providing >30% of the ILRS data; Sites are unique with a long space geodetic history



No. NASA Pass Segments No. Other Pass Segments — No. NASA Stations — No. Other Stations

NASA SLR Network - 2015 Data Statistics





60,802 pass segments ; 694,760 Normal points

NASA SLR Network - Operations Optimization

		Satellite		Ops Crew
Location	Station	Coverage	Operational Hours	Availability
Monument Peak	M4	LEO to HEO	16 hours x 5 days	47.6%
Yarragadee	M5	LEO to GEO	24 hours x 7 days	100.0%
Hartebeestoek	M6	LEO to GEO	24 hours x 5 days + 16 hours x 2 days	90.5%
Greenbelt	M7	LEO to HEO	24 hours x 5 days	71.4%
Tahiti	M8	LEO to HEO	20 hours x 4 days	47.6%
Arequippa	T3	LEO to Lageos	24 hours x 5 days + 8 hoursX 1 day	76.2%
Haleakala	T4	LEO to Lageos	8 hours x 6 days + 16 hours x 1 day	38.1%
Fort Davis	MLRS	LEO to HEO	10 hours x 4 days	23.8%



NASA SLR Network – Station News

- 1. Moblas 4, Geospatial Lightning Monitor (GLM) beacon site for NASA/NOAA GOES- R satellite, Jan – June 2017
- 2. Moblas 7: (a) GLM beacon site for NASA/NOAA GOES- R satellite, Jan June 2017 (b) Planning to participate in ACES Time transfer experiments
- **3.** Moblas **5**: (a) Nearby Maritime Safety System Antenna Operational (b) Planning to participate in ACES Time transfer experiments (c) New UPS for the site in place (d) Fiber infrastructure upgrade expected in the near future
- 4. Moblas 6: (a) Russian SLR station to be located in the proximity of Moblas 6; perpetual intercomparison possible; (b) LLR station build in progress; (c) New VLBI planned;
- 5. Moblas 8: Trailer Renovation; Evaluation of new GGOS site by CNES/NASA



NASA SLR Network – Station News

- 1. TLRS 3: (a) New Institute of Astronomy and Aerospace Pedro Paulet (IAAPP) established (b) Academic program in Geodesy/research planned; (b) Super computer capability established; (c) GNSS analysis effort initiated, SLR analysis planned; (d) Satellite Image
- analysis for environmental studies is underway (e) Continue to formulate study project on nearby volcano Misti
- 2. TLRS 4: (a) Large DKIST Solar telescope established nearby and impacting SLR tracking **3. MLRS**: New NASA GGOS site planning is underway

NASA SLR Network - Operations Summary

- 1. Operational hours restricted by the available funding within NASA and NASA partners
- 2. Having qualified station crews remains vital for the successful collection of data
- 3. Full staffing at **Moblas 5**
- 4. 90% staffing at **Moblas 6**
- 5. No additional funding resources for expanding shift coverage at M4 & 7, T4, and MLRS
- 6. Local Labor rules prevents single person operations at night; Moblas 8 at Tahiti
- 7. Best efforts are used to compensate for smaller crews;
- 1. T3 Peru shifted crew resources to take more data over the weekends
- 2. MLRS, Moblas 8 crews are flexible to accommodate weather etc.

NASA SLR – Network Sustainment

• Engineering Sustainability Issues

Obsolescence

NASA

NASA

NASA

- Sustaining/ Maintaining hardware/software in remote locations
- System Down-time
- Cost of Material, Labor, and Logistics
- Lack of HW, SW Standardization

NASA SLR: Station Similarities and Differences

MOBLAS Telescope & servo-control Ranging Control Electronics	 Laser Meteorological Time & freq Time of Flight Detectors Controller HW & SW Processing HW & SW 	TLRS Telescope & servo-control Ranging Control Electronics	
 Meteorological Detector Radar Part of Controller	 Laser MET4 Part of Controller HW	 Meteorological Part of Controller	
HW & SW	& SW Part of Processing	HW& SW Part of Processing	



NASA

NASA

NASA

NASA SLR – Time of Flight Measurement

- Time Interval Unit (TIU) has been failing across the network; 30 year old technology; an obsolete but most critical part of the Data chain
- NASA needed reliable, stable, accurate replacement to perform epoch and time of flight measurement; event timer chosen
- Event Timer is now operational in Moblas 7 with higher precision and accuracy than TIU
- Event Timer is being implemented across the network in a manner that allows concurrent data taking with the TIU. This allows for seamless and robust transition/test/analysis with a "virtual"

Monitor and Improve Station Data Performance (in particular; biases)

- Work with analysts to understand station specific biases
- Establish what is Internal to the station vs External to the station



- quarantine that eliminates any urgency to hurry testing/analysis due to quarantine "blackout"
- This concurrent testing ongoing now in M6 and T4, remainder of stations are immanent
- This could provide insight into any past network or station bias due to TIU
- Ranging Performance Improvement as well as potential for higher volume of data especially for Lageos, HEO, GEO satellites;

M7 Satellite and Cal RMS – Before & After



Pavlis – ASC L2 Analysis- ET vs TIU



NASA SLR: Improvement Goals

. Network sustainability

- 2. Station Reliability and data stability
- 3. Improved Data Performance Data Quality (Precision & Accuracy) and Quantity
- 4. Reduced cost of operation for Engineering, Logistics, Travel