

ASA

# Application of one-way laser ranging data to LRO into orbit determination

S. Bauer (1), D. Dirkx (2),
J. Oberst (1,3), H. Hussmann (1), D. Mao (4),
G.A. Neumann (5), E. Mazarico (6), M.H. Torrence (7),
J.F. McGarry (5), D.E. Smith (6), M.T. Zuber (6)

(1) DLR, Berlin Germany, (2) TU Delft, Netherlands,
(3) TU Berlin, Germany, (4) Sigma Space Corporation,
Lanham MD USA, (5) NASA Goddard Space Flight Center,
Greenbelt MD USA, (6) MIT, Cambridge MA USA,
(7) SGT Inc., Greenbelt MD USA

# Knowledge for Tomorrow

berlin

## LR to LRO Motivation and experiment

- Promising approach
  - LR to LRO provides 15 cm precise pseudo ranges (full data)
  - Setup on SC is simple since LOLA is used and mode is passive
- Goal: analysis of an application into OD for improvement of positioning and further derived data products



#### LRO OD Latest activities

- LRO OD with only one-way LR data
  - Estimation of all timing parameters along with LRO initial state
  - Challenge: high correlations of the timing parameters (LRO & GS clocks) due to the one-way setup
- Using further sources as reference
  - A priori info on state and LRO timing parameters from SPK analysis
  - GS timing parameters from simultaneous pass analysis & literature
  - So providing input to the estimation and do result interpretation
- OD setup used

**T**UDelft

- LRO and GS clock estimated to the 2nd order
- Variation of the length over which the LRO state and the LRO and GS clocks are estimated (state and clock arc length)
- Gravity field: gggrx\_0900c @ D/O180
- Box model for LRO for the solar radiation pressure
- A priori total and covariance values for the timing parameters



## LRO OD Further references

– LRO clock: offset, drift and aging from SPK analysis
 – GS clock: differences between stations on offset, drift and aging from [1] and from analysis of simultaneous passes





[1] Mao, D., et al.: Laser Ranging Experiment on Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter: Timing Determination and Orbit Constraints, 17th ILRS workshop

# LRO OD Observation passes



Coverage of 30 LR passes from 3 stations over 5 days, NPT's used for the OD Timeframe: 26<sup>th</sup> until 30<sup>th</sup> July 2010



### LRO OD Observation passes & cases



Coverage of 30 LR passes from 3 stations over 5 days, NPT's used for the OD Timeframe: 26<sup>th</sup> until 30<sup>th</sup> July 2010



# LRO OD Results 05 days per day & full period

- Timing parameters for the per day results are averaged over the 05 days
- Comparison to SPK analysis
  - Drift: -7.1108e-08 s/s
  - Aging: 4.08e-17 s/s<sup>2</sup>

	Per		Day	Full period			
Difference to SPK	Mean in m		40.36	46.50			
Difference in between	Mean in m		6.47				
RMS wrt. trajectory	Mean i	n m	1.10	2.27			
LRO clock	Offset in s		+1.1222e-03	+2.0678e-04			
	Drift in s/s		-7.1100e-08	-7.1110e-8			
	Aging in s/s <sup>2</sup>		-6.7141e-17	-2.9337e-15			
	Offset in s	7110	-7.7916e-05	2.0507e-04			
		7125	-7.7499e-05	2.0528e-04			
		7090	-7.3023e-05	2.0365e-04			
	Drift in s/s	7110	-4.1653e-12	3.4784e-13			
GS clock		7125	-7.3567e-12	8.4757e-13			
		7090	-4.4276e-11	1.1063e-11			
	Aging in s/s²	7110	-3.0599e-17	-2.9510e-15			
		7125	-2.3761e-17	-2.9529e-15			
		7090	+4.7019e-17	-2.9704e-15			

# LRO OD Results 05 days per day & full period

- Timing parameters for the per day results are averaged over the 05 days
- Comparison to SPK analysis
   Drift: -7.1108e-08 s/s
  - Aging: 4.08e-17 s/s<sup>2</sup>
- Comparison of GS clock parameters to literature

		Pei	r	Day	Full period			
	Difference to SPK	Mean i	n m	40.36	46.50			
	Difference in between	Mean i	n m	6.47				
	RMS wrt. trajectory	Mean i	n m	1.10	2.27			
	LRO clock	Offset	in s	+1.1222e-03	+2.0678e-04			
		Drift in	s/s	-7.1100e-08	-7.1110e-8			
		Aging in s/s <sup>2</sup>		-6.7141e-17	-2.9337e-15			
			7110	-7.7916e-05	2.0507e-04			
		Offset in s	7125	-7.7499e-05	2.0528e-04			
			7090	-7.3023e-05	2.0365e-04			
		Drift in	7110	-4.1653e-12	3.4784e-13			
-	GS clock	s/s	/125	-7.3567e-12	8.4757e-13			
			7090	-4.4276e-11	1.1063e-11			
				-3.0599e-17	-2.9510e-15			
		Aging in s/s <sup>2</sup>	7125	-2.3761e-17	-2.9529e-15			
			7090	+4.7019e-17	-2.9704e-15			

Difference in drift for stations	05 days OD Per day	D. Maoʻs results From slide 04			
7125/GO1L – 7110/MONL	5e-13 s/s	6e-12 s/s			
7125/GO1L – 7090/YARL	1e-11 s/s	1e-12 s/s			

# LRO OD Results 05 days RMS



# LRO OD Results 05 days LRO clock parameters

**Ť**UDelft



Estimated LRO clock drift rate

# LRO OD Results 02 days with arc overlaps

	Unit	01 - 02		02 - 03		03 - 04		04 - 05	
Difference to SPK	m	n 10.96		17.72		28.93		8.64	
RMS wrt. trajectory	m	1.27		0.44		1.52		0.85	
Overlap at day	day		0		03		04		
Arc overlap difference	m	25.		.68	20.13		17.78		

Result of the 02 days OD with arc overlaps



### LRO OD Results 02 days, arc overlaps

Differences of trajectories at the arc overlaps at day



# LRO OD Results 02 days, LRO clock parameters



Estimated LRO clock drift rate

#### **Summary & Outlook**

#### – Summary

- LRO OD with one-way LR data only while using other sources (literature, SPK analysis) for constraining the estimation and interpretation of the results
- OD: state over 05 days where LRO clock was estimated
  - Every day (per day): 40.36 m difference to SPK
  - Over 05 days (per full period): 46.50 m difference to SPK
- OD over 05 days with 02 days state and clock arcs where
  - Difference to SPK is 16.56 m on average
  - Difference at arc overlaps is 21.20 m on average
- Outlook

**T**UDelft

- Incorporate  $a_{emp}$  and  $a_{sp}$  coefficient into the estimation
- Derive a proper setup for the GS timing estimation
- Use data from other timeframes and other data types (radio, x-over)
- Use more references on GS timing (e.g. T2L2)



## Thank you for your attention! Questions?

The research leading to these results has received funding from the DFG (German Science Foundation) and the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme ([FP7/2007-2013]) under grant agreement n° 263466 for the FP7-ESPaCE program.

