

#### ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS and the ULTIMATE RANGING ACCURACY for LUNAR LASER RANGING

#### **Professor Douglas Currie**

University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA NASA Lunar Science Institute, Moffett Field, CA INFN – LNF, Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Italy





# CURRENT SCIENCE ISSUE

- Open Questions in Cosmology and Fundamental Physics
  - Nature of Dark Matter
    - Gravitational Observations are the Only Clue to Date
    - Addressed by the MOND Theories
    - However, For Now I will Leave This to the Particle Talks
  - Nature of Dark Energy
    - SuperNova Discoveries of Acceleration of Distant Galaxies
    - This could be Explained by Einstein' Lambda Constant
    - Or Spatial and/or Temporal Changes in Lambda Quintessence
  - Relation between GR and Quantum Mechanics
    - Attempts toward the Quantization of Gravity
    - String Theory implies Variation of Fundamental Constants



#### GRAVITATIONAL & GR SCIENCE

- LLR Currently Provides our Best Tests of:
  - Strong Equivalence Principle (SEP) 15
  - Time Rate-of-Change of G
  - Inverse Square Law, Deviation of 1/r 56
  - The Weak Equivalence Principle (WEP)
  - Gravitomagnetism
  - ALLRP Improvement by XX over Current by 2030

19<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Laser Ranging 27-31 October 2014 Annapolis, MD 47



# CHALLENGES FOR ALLRP

- To Achieve mm and/or sub-mm LLR Accuracy
   For an Order of Magnitude Improvement in Science
- A) Deploy Three LLRRA-21s on the Moon
- B) Analyze Upgrade Paths for Current L & S GSs
- C) Improve GS Hardware, Software and Ops
- D) Upgrade Analysis and Scientific Software
- E) Geophysical Effects Ocean Tides, Rainfall, etc.
- F) Understanding the Earth's Atmosphere



# ATMOSPHERIC EFFECTS



- No Comprehensive Data for Combined Effects
- Discussion will Divide into Two Domains

   Short Term Local "High Frequency" Effects
   Long term Large Scale "Slow", 'Biases"







Pavlis





## OUTLINE



#### Simulations

- Short Term Effects Achieving Good Normal Points
  - Computations of Turbulence using GLAD
- Long Term Effects Biases
  - Estimates of the Magnitude of the Horizontal Gradients
- Satellite Observations
  - Short Term
    - Satellite Ranging Observations of EVISAT
  - Long Term
    - Satellite Ranging Observations of LAGEOS



### LUNAR vs. SLR SCIENCE



SLR Science Observations

- SLR Science Needs Data Down to 10° Elevation
- Domain for Most Analysis of Atmospheric Effects
- Lunar Science Observations
  - Gravitation and General Relativity Tests
  - Rotational Properties of the Moon
  - Given Locations of Current Lunar Laser Ranging GS
  - Observations Conducted between 40° and 30° Elevation



## SHORT TERM EFFECTS Simulations



- Shot-to-Shot Variation in Timing of Delay
- Limits Precision of Mean Value of Normal Point – For a Given Number of Shots
- Theoretical Estimate of Delay using GLAD
  - Ground to LEO Satellite -
    - 40° Elevation
    - Hufnagfel-Valley
      - $C_n^2 = 10^{-13}$   $L_o = 100m$
  - RMS of 0.4 mm Shot to Shot



# LONG TERM EFFECTS



- Excellent Accuracy for Zenith Observations
- Zenith Observations Never Possible for Moon
- Almost All Observations at 40° or 30° Elevation
   Need to Compute Off-Zenith Effects
- Could Assume Spherically Symmetric Atmosphere

   But Horizontal Gradients in Pressure, Temperature & H
   Heat Island, Weather Effects, Wind on Topology

Need to Evaluate Magnitude of These Effects



### LONG TERM EFFECTS Simulations



- Martini & Mendes
  - Spherically Symmetric Atmosphere
  - RMS Of Day to Day Estimates of Bias
    - 4.9 mm at 10° 0.7 mm at 40°
- Gardner
  - Radiosondes for Horizontal Gradients
  - RMS Of Day to Day Estimates of Bias
    - 8.7 mm at 10° 2.4 mm at 40°



### LONG TERM EFFECTS Simulations



- Hulley and Pavlis AIRS
  - Satellite Estimation of Horizontal Gradients
  - Ground Resolution ~ 50 km
  - RMS Of Day to Day Estimates of Bias
- Hulley and Pavlis Weather NCEP

   Surface Estimates of Horizontal Gradients
   RMS Of Day to Day Estimates of Bias
   Ground Resolution ~250 km

#### MAGNITUDE OF PREDICTIONS Hulley and Pavlis

			N-S Gradient				E-W Gradient			
•	Station	Metho	bd							
•			mean	r.m.s	mean	r.m.s	mean	r.m.s	mean r	.m.s
•			10°	10°	40°	40°	10°	10°	40°	40°
•			mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm
•										
•	McDonald	ART	+0.6	+7.0	+0.2	+1.9	-2.7	+6.0	+0.7	+1.6
•	Fort Davis,	NRT	-0.2	+0.6	+0.0	+0.1	-1.0	+3.1	+0.3	+0.8
•										
•	MLRO	ART	-2.1	+4.5	+0.5	+0.4	+1.8	+4.7	+0.5	+1.3
•	Materia,	NRT	-0.5	+8.4	+0.1	+0.8	-0.4	+7.5	+0.1	+2.0

 Average r.m.s. at 10°/40° for both stations and for both computations 7.5/2.0 mm 19<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Laser Ranging 27-31 October 2014

Annapolis, MD



#### SHORT TERM EFFECTS Observations



- Ranging Experiments at GRAZ Observatory

   Conducted Ranging to EVISAT Satellite
   Need to Remove Instrumental Effects
- Ground to LEO Satellite 36.6° Elevation
   Observed RMS Shot to Shot Variation 0.40 mm



#### LONG TERM EFFECTS Observations



- Detailed Comparison
  - Between Computed Results with Observations
  - Thus for Each Day This Difference between
    - Laser Ranging and Ray Trace with Horizontal Gradients
  - Analysis Performed By Hulley & Pavlis
  - -LAGEOSI&II
  - Analysis of Two Years of Ranging Observations

#### 5 mm at 10° – 1.35 mm at 40° – 1.74 mm at 30°



#### SUMMARY



 Short Term – Shot to Shot Variation in a NP Simulation in GLAD at 40° • 0.4 mm for  $L_0 = 100$  m Observation at GRAZ at 36.6° 0.4 mm at 36.6° elevation Long Term – Bias of a Normal Point Simulation with ARIS and NCEP – Day to Day • 7.2 mm at 10° – 2.00 mm at 40° – 2.50 mm at 30° - Observation with LAGEOS vs. Simulation 5 mm at 10° – 1.35 mm at 40° – 1.47 mm at 30° • **19th** International Workshop on Laser Ranging 27-31 October 2014

Annapolis, MD

#### FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- Obtain Existing Analysis Results of Biases
  - E.g., Like Hulley and Pavlis at 10°
  - Integrate This into the Current Analysis Structure
- Investigate Better Weather Models
  - Inclusion of Local Topology and Cloud Patterns
    - 1 km resolution (at least for the lower atmosphere)
  - NCEP -> WRF World Research and Forecasting System
- Rework Short Term GLAD Analyses
  - Address the Detailed Parameters of Existing Lunar Stations
- Investigate Better Measurement Systems for Turbulence
  - E.g., Advanced DIMM Systems



Thank You! any Questions? or Comments?

with **Special Acknowledgements** to NASA Lunar Science Sorties Opportunities **NASA Lunar Science Institute Italian Space Agency** INFN-LNF, Frascati LSSO Team & LUNAR Team **Douglas Currie** currie@umd.edu 301 412 2033 18