

:

13-0417 Attempt to Further Enhance Ranging Accuracy to Lageos through De-Convolution of the Target Response

Thomas Varghese, Thomas Zagwodzki, Thomas Oldham, Sanhe Hu Cybioms Corporation/NASA Next Generation SLR, USA

Paper presented at the International SLR Workshop, Fuji-Yoshida, Japan,, Nov 11-15, 2013



Lageos Lab Measurements – Revisiting 20 years later

- Motivation:
 - 1. GGOS requires SLR data to be at the 1mm accuracy;
 - 2. Lageos 1 & 2 (L1, L2) are pivotal to Future Space Geodesy.
- Key Questions:
 - 1. Can we achieve the 1 mm ranging accuracy required for GGOS on Lageos 1, 2?
 - 2. What technologies, observing, and processing techniques permit 1 mm measurements?
 - 3. How can we ensure that we have consistent 1mm accuracy at the normal point level?

• Challenges:

- 1. L1 & L2 are targets with significant and variable depth function;
- 2. There are different laser transmitter and receiver detection schemes that can be deployed;
- 3. Needs deeper understanding of the physics/engineering of the device technologies;
- 4. Operating /available Signal levels can be quite different;
- 5. Coherent interaction and atmospheric propagation effects are also factors;



Optical Characterization of LAGEOS 2

Original Characterization Effort

- 1. LAGEOS 2 lab measurements and analysis at GSFC performed to determine CoM and Lidar Cross section utilizing three different measurement techniques.
- 2. Functional dependencies on many Ground segment parameters;

Current Effort

- 1. Reanalyze LAGEOS 2 data with particular attention to the current./emerging SLR context;
- 2. Analyze the detection schema at low and high signal return levels (single and multiple photoelectron (PE) levels);



Streak Camera-based Target Signature Detection

Measurement methodology

- 1. Measurements performed in the FFDP annulus with a pinhole to represent the observing system;
- 2. Streak camera digitized waveform is essentially a delta function impulse response of the detector convoluted with the satellite optical response
- 3. Timing reference pulse transferable to the surface of the satellite or the face of cube normal to the incident beam via a calibration cube fixture.
- 4. Streak camera waveform is a differential measurement of satellite and reference pulses;
- 5. Acceptable waveforms are synchronized (via reference pulse) and averaged (typically 210)
- 6. Timing computations are performed for peak-to-peak, half max-to-half max, and centroid-to-centroid of the averaged waveform.
- 7. Streak camera sweep nonlinearity correction (typically +/- 0.7 picoseconds) is applied from a look up table for each of the 3 detection techniques.
- 8. A correction for latitude sag error applied to all measurements as needed (~ ± 1.5 mm @ ± 45 deg).



Lageos – On-orbit Range Accuracy Dependencies

Normal Point Range Correction to the CoM will be affected by a combination of the Ground Station

and LRA parameters:

 $\Delta R_{\text{NPT}} = f(x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, x6, \dots, x13)$

x1 = Laser - Wavelength (λ);

x2 = Laser - Pulse width;

- x3 = Laser Polarization State;
- x4 = LRA Geometry + Mounting structure;
- x5 = LRA Cube physical and optical properties;
- x6 = LRA Cube dihedral offset;

x7 = LRA - Thermal gradients;

- x8 = LRA (Satellite) Spin rate indirectly affecting the averaging of the FFDP;
- x9 = LRA (Satellite) Spin orientation (Station Visibility);

x10 = Station line of sight orientation (Az, EI) wrto the satellite,

x11 = Detection Schema;

x12 = Detection Signal Strength Level;

x13 = Data Filtering/ Editing Criteria;





Lageos 2 – NASA GSFC Laboratory Measurement set-up





Lageos 2 – Pulsed Measurement - Instrumentation







13-0417



Lageos 2 – Temporal Structure at the pole vs. Pulse width





Lageos – Averaged Temporal Structure vs. Orientation



- 1. Effect of coherent Interaction as seen from the complex structure of the 200+ Avg. waveform;
- 2. Data taken with a pinhole in the annulus to represent SLR system in the FFDP

Lageos – Waveforms for different Satellite Orientation Aggregated



1. Satellite Return (24 random orientations) under different detection schemes for comparison;



Lageos – Averaged Temporal Structure vs. Orientation



- 1. Effect of coherent Interaction as seen from the complex structure of the 200+ Avg. waveform;
- 2. Similar to a 1 minute normal point



Lageos – Closest Cube, Satellite Pulse Alignment



BLUE Pulse: Avg. reference pulse; ~1 min of data; 200+ pulses avg, sub-mm timing for PW <10ps
RED Pulse: Avg. satellite response for 4 orientations; ~1 min of data; 200+ pulses avg; sub-mm timing



Lageos 2 – Laser Transmitter Observations

• Pulse width – Picosecond Pulses

- Short pulse width provides the most deterministic millimeter results for CoM correction;
- If the pulsewidth of the laser is <10ps, then the 1σ of the ensuing data has the potential to reach sub-mm precision and accuracy in the strong signal regime;
- Polarization linear vs. Circular
 - Symmetry in the FFDP for circular polarization for the entire satellite indicate that circular polarization is better for Lageos Ranging;
- Wavelength Visible spectrum to near IR
 - No large dependencies (<1mm) for CoM correction on wavelength;



Lageos 2 – Detection Schema Observations

- <u>Centroid Pulse Shape effects handled by the algorithm</u>
 - 1. Centroid stability and accuracy depend strongly on the consistency of the pulse shape;
 - 2. Data quantity in the NPT bin needs to be large for statistical robustness of CoM correction;
 - 3. Sparse data, data truncation/ filtering will bias the centroid correction in the "single PE" regime;
- <u>Peak Detection (PD) Pulse shape symmetry required for zero bias</u>
 - 1. Peak Detection is not stable for millimeter Lageos ranging
 - 2. Multiple Peaks generate uncertainty for Center of Mass (CoM) correction;
- Leading edge (LE) Defines the most deterministic part of the Lageos response function
 - 1. Early part of the Leading edge is immune form the multi-cube effect;
 - 2. Under strong signal conditions, early part of the leading edge provides the best timing amongst all techniques;



Lageos Analysis - Summary

- NPT level Range Accuracy at the sub-mm level is possible with the right selection of the laser pulse width and detection schemes;
- Ability to resolve the array exists with special detection electronics and data screening/ processing;
- High Power, short pulse lasers guarantee the closest cube/s ranging to provide the best accuracy
- Circularly polarized light preferred over Linear Polarization for reduced variability/ skewness;