Laser Ranging Experiment on Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter: Timing Determination and Orbit Constraints

D. Mao¹, E. Mazarico^{2,4}, M. Torrence³, J. McGarry⁴, X. Sun⁴, G. Neumann⁴, D. Rolands⁴, D. Smith², M. Zuber², M. Barker¹, J. Golder¹, T. Zagwodzki⁴, J. Cavanaugh⁴ ¹Sigma Space Corporation, ²MIT, ³SGT, ⁴NASA/GSFC







Laser Ranging Data

Greenbelt SLR station (NGSLR)



- 532-nm 28Hz laser
 - line-of-sight measurements
 - \sim 15cm precision
 - NGSLR + international stations

LRO & SDO White Sands antennae





over 1000 hours of LR tracking



• Use predictions (CPFs) generated by GSFC Flight Dynamics Facility (FDF) with accuracy better than 1km

• Event arrival times recorder by LOLA

• Earth tracking stations fire time files are combined with LOLA "Earth window" receive times calculating time of flight not considering relativistic effects to match the fire and receive times

LR Stations: precision



















17th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Bad Koetzting, Germany - 2011.05.16 - 20



17th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Bad Koetzting, Germany - 2011.05.16 - 20

• One-way range measurement: clocks at the ground station & LRO clock

• LRO power off: extra offset between LRO clock time and UTC introduced

• sun-safe mode power off: 02/23/2011 - 02/25/2011 -> offset_LR = 0.850 s -> offset_LRO = 0.8508 s

Simultaneous Ranging



LOLA receive data vs. LRO time

17th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Bad Koetzting, Germany - 2011.05.16 - 20

Tuesday, May 24, 2011

Elapsed Seconds



RO clock long term stability

Residual = LRO MET - NGSLR UTC - Light Time - offset_drift_aging



Liming: LRO MET vs. UTC

• The clock offset of LR and LOLA can be predicted much more easily just using the drift and aging, obviating the need for using LRO SCLK to calculate LOLA time offsets

• SCLK precise only to 3ms (as required), updated weekly

	Prelaunch measurement	LR estimation (NGSLR/GOIL)		
	2009	11/05/2009	02/16/2010	02/25/2011
drift (s/s)	7.659E-08	7.159E-08	7.105E-08	6.959E-08
aging (s/s^2)	2.8935E-17	3.5536E-17	2.0925E-17	0.1631E-17

17th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Bad Koetzting, Germany - 2011.05.16 - 20

RO clock long term stability



Ground Station Clocks



17th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Bad Koetzting, Germany - 2011.05.16 - 20

50

0

100

150

Days from 2/16/2010

200

250

300

350

Tuesday, May 24, 2011

NGSLR

Grasse

McDon. Obs.

Yarragadee

Mon. Peak

Herstmonceux

Zimmerwald

Ground Station Clocks



• GEODYN: Integrate spacecraft trajectory over 2 weeks

recision Orbit Determination

Description

→ iteratively adjust parameters to achieve best fit between tracking observations and computed values

• Tracking LRO: two types of range measurements

\rightarrow LR

→ commercial tracking network (USN) supplements NASA dedicated station (WS)

Radiometric Tracking Data

- S-band telecom system for radiometric tracking
 - line-of-sight measurements
 - 2-way Doppler observations (~0.5-1mm/s)
 - Range observations (~0.5-1m)
- NASA White Sands station supported by USN network

LRO & SDO White Sands antennae



Radiometric Tracking Data

- S-band telecom system for radiometric tracking
 - line-of-sight measurements
 - 2-way Doppler observations (~0.5-1mm/s)
 - Range observations (~0.5-1m)
- NASA White Sands station supported by USN network







Range Residuals: LR vs. S-band



17th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Bad Koetzting, Germany - 2011.05.16 - 20

Orbit difference: LR vs.S-band



- Altimeter data can be used to constrain the spacecraft orbit
 not line-of-sight
- accounting for surface height changes, the derived elevations should equal
- \bullet The strength of those constraints is commensurate with the altimeter precision (~10 cm)
- from LOLA's five-spot pattern, 25 single-beam crossover constraints can be derived
- polar orbit convergence and the Moon's slow rotation induce lead to extreme density at both poles



Neumann et al. [2001]

- Altimeter data can be used to constrain the spacecraft orbit
 not line-of-sight
- accounting for surface height changes, the derived elevations should equal
- The strength of those constraints is commensurate with the altimeter precision (~10 cm)
- from LOLA's five-spot pattern, 25 single-beam crossover constraints can be derived
- polar orbit convergence and the Moon's slow rotation induce lead to extreme density at both poles

- Altimeter data can be used to constrain the spacecraft orbit
 not line-of-sight
- accounting for surface height changes, the derived elevations should equal
- \bullet The strength of those constraints is commensurate with the altimeter precision (~10 cm)
- from LOLA's five-spot pattern, 25 single-beam crossover constraints can be derived
- polar orbit convergence and the Moon's slow rotation induce lead to extreme density at both poles



17th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Bad Koetzting, Germany - 2011.05.16 - 20

- Altimeter data can be used to constrain the spacecraft orbit
 not line-of-sight
- accounting for surface height changes, the derived elevations should equal
- The strength of those constraints is commensurate with the altimeter precision (~10 cm)
- from LOLA's five-spot pattern, 25 single-beam crossover constraints can be derived
- polar orbit convergence and the Moon's slow rotation induce lead to extreme density at both poles



17th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Bad Koetzting, Germany - 2011.05.16 - 20

• Time analysis:

Conclusion

- ability to accurately relate spacecraft time to ground time
- ability to monitor spacecraft long term behavior
- ability to monitor relative ground station clock behavior

• Orbit determination:

- LR precision comparable to S-band tracking
- LR + S-band + Altimetry