

## Assessment of SLR observation performance using LAGEOS data

Gang ZHAO, You ZHAO, Mingguo Sun, Huanhuan YU Changchun Observatory, NAOC, CAS, China



## Outline

- Introduction
- Satellite properties
- Data processing methods
- Comparison of gravity field models
- Statistics of station observation
- Short-arc POD
- Assessment of station coordinates
- Summary



### Introduction

- As a productive station, Changchun (7237) has provided large numbers of SLR observation data with moderate precision for more than 20 years. It has made great and continuously efforts in SLR equipment and technology.
- How to sufficiently make use of SLR data, and resource of experiential staffs, is an important issue for our future development.
- From the beginning of 2008 on, we have realized routine short-arc POD (precision orbit determination) and residual analysis on SLR data of LAGEOS-1/2, which is publicized on our website (www.cho.ac.cn) and is updated around once a week.
- What we can do using POD results, how to apply them in relevant geodynamic and geophysical problems, how to combine them with our observational duty, need seriously consideration.
- In this report, we'll present preliminary results and application of our SLR POD work, especially to LAGEOS.



### **Satellite properties**

Ofbit of Lageos-2 :	Name	LAGEOS-1	LAGEOS-2
	ID	7603901	9207002
	Mass	411	kg
	CM offset	0.25	51 m
	cross section	0.28	3 m²

#### Time span : 1200 days (Mar, 1, 2005 to Jun, 12, 2008)



## Data processing methods

### • Reference Coordinate System

Inertial	J2000.0
Terrestrial	ITRF2000
Precession	IAU1976
Nutation	IAU1980

Measurement model

Plate tectonic motion	NNR-NUVEL1
earth solid tides	
rotational deformation	
ocean tide loading	CSR4.0
Tropospheric refraction	Marini/Murry model



## Data processing methods

### Dynamical Model

earth gravity field	JGM-3 30*30
n-body perturbation	JPL ephemerides DE403 (sun/moon)
ocean tide model	CSR4.0+TEG4
relativistic correction	1-body
solar radiation pressure	conical
earth radiation and albedo	
thermal radiator (y-bias)	
empirical drag	
empirical RTN acceleration	



### Data processing methods

### • Estimated Parameters

satellite state vector (3-position & 3-velocity) empirical drag coefficients solar radiation pressure coefficients earth radiation parameters empirical acceleration R/T/N earth rotation parameters (xp,yp,dut1/dt)

### Numerical Integration

Integrator	Krogh-Shampine-Gordon
step length	fixed-step, 150s



### **ILRS** network





## Comparison of gravity field models

#### Gravity models :

- EGM96 (IERS2003 recommended)
- **GGM02C** (GRACE & terrestrial )
- **JGM-3** (IERS1996 recommended)

#### Arc length = 15 days





### Comparison of gravity field models

# Absolute value (m) of orbital precision:

<b>•</b> ••				
		RMS (EGM)	RMS (GGM)	RMS(JGM)
	LAGEOS-1	0.012764	0.012607	0.012416
	LAGEOS-2	0.012571	0.012258	0.012371
	Mean	0.012667	0.012432	0.012393

#### Relative value of orbital precision:

16<sup>th</sup> International Work

		RMS (EGM)	RMS (GGM)	RMS(JGM)
	LAGEOS-1	1.0280	1.0154	1.0000
	LAGEOS-2	1.0167	0.9909	1.0000
sho	Mean non Laser Panging	1.0221	1.0031	1.0000



### Statistics of station observation

### Quantity of observation







### Statistics of station observation

### Quality of observation





ITENENE STELLER IN HERREN IN STELLER INS



### Statistics of station observation

### LAGEOS-2

### LAGEOS-1 :

S_ID	Nobs	WRMS(cm)
7090	38066	0.9
7810	52294	1.0
7839	16817	1.0
7110	11729	1.0
7840	22045	1.1
7105	5110	1.1
7835	166	1.1
7080	5078	1.2
7848	189	1.2
7825	25841	1.3
7237	7836	3.3
7406	17004	3.7
7821	759	3.8

S_ID	Nobs	WRMS(cm)
7090	40969	0.9
7839	13635	0.9
7810	41128	1.0
7840	17739	1.0
7110	10277	1.0
7105	5714	1.0
7835	217	1.1
7848	117	1.1
7080	6735	1.2
7825	27355	1.3
7237	6718	3.9
7406	13884	3.9
7821	1157	4.2



#### Arc length = 3 days



#### LAGEOS-1

Mean of RMS = 1.22 cm

LAGEOS-2

Mean of RMS = 1.17 cm



#### Station performance (LAGEOS-1)

T TOL	2008	2007	2008
2	and a real second second	والمتحمد والأعير الأسرا	
1.0	and the second se	and the second second second	1000

- lang file (m
- (in the (in) 84000

					IONUMB 71	10			
T	3.0		-	2008		2007		2008	-
ŝ	2.0		1. S.			1 . San .		· .	
18	1.0	100 M		10 A 10		Sec. 2 All Sec.	100 a 2	محقحا	100
	100	- All and a second s	****	Lagon		1 2007		1 Thomas and	-
÷	50	F							-

a.

(H) (R) (H) 84000

	- 500	وموجود ومعرفه فتحجيه	متبسقيهم	بعيه حضصافونهم	
(B) 201	**	2000			
_	-401-		-		

2 0.0 2000	2007	2027 mil
če.o⊢. <u>e ™</u>	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- <b>2</b> -
4.0	14-04-6 C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C	
800		
100		2008
		and the second s
-100		
-200		
100	2007	2006
80 -	and the state of the	
0 - 200		the second s
-50 -		
-100	and the second	
63400 B3600 B3800	84000 84200 B	4400 84600
	Medified Julian Date	

10.0	BANJC	A 7408	
(E = · · · -	25 - 5 - 5		
9 a.o.		And a second	
1 CE			
0.0 E	and the second se	and the second	and the second second
- 200 F	2006	2007	20.00/8
- 100E		كالمستحي المستحي	A Distantion of the local distance in the lo
a •		the second s	and the second se
g _100 -		and the second is	
a _200			
· · · · · ·	200	2007	2008
3 WF		and the second	and a state of the second
eo -	a second s		
-100	0 01000 0	1000	84400 846
	Mentifie	at Jullan Date	

	DHAN	10H 7021	
(1) 0.0 (1) 0.0 (2) 0.	2008	2007	zoom
2 x.o		1 6 - 1 2 - 1 1 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
1 100	2004	2007	noon
1 -100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
3 100	2006	2007	2008
요 · · · · · · · · · · ·			
63400	B3600 B360	adden Date	54400 54600



#### Station performance (LAGEOS-2)





#### Station Statistics on LAGEOS-1

S_ID	Nobs	Mean RMS (cm)	Mean RB (mm)	Mean  RB  (mm)	Mean TB (us)	Mean  TB  (us)
7090	3250	0.7		7	1	4
7110	1257	0.8	-2	10	0	6
7839	1505	0.7	-3	8	0	3
7237	996	2.2	8	25	1	11
7406	1480	2.9	14	32	-10	18
7821	82	2.3	-9	30	6	12

#### Station Statistics on LAGEOS-2

S_ID	Nobs	Mean RMS (cm)	Mean RB (mm)	Mean  RB  (mm)	Mean TB (us)	Mean  TB  (us)
7090	2819	0.7	2	8	-2	5
7110	948	0.7	0	9	-1	6
7839	1112	0.7	-2	8	0	4
7237	783	2.1	3	21	-10	16
7406	1101	3.0	6	33	12	18
7821	116	2.2	-6	26	-3	14





16th International Workshop on Laser Ranging



#### [From LAGEOS-1]

- a \_\_\_\_\_

- Image: Section of the sectio

#### [From LAGEOS-2]

- S a solution of the solution o
- 9 2006 2007 2006

84000



#### [From LAGEOS-1]





	LAGEOS-1	-2389006.926±0.007	5043329.319±0.006	-3078524.911±0.004
YARAGA	LAGEOS-2	-2389006.930±0.007	5043329.318±0.007	-3078524.915±0.006
(7090)	Mean	-2389006.928	5043329.319	-3078524.913
	ITRF2000 ( X Y Z )	-2389006.924	5043329.339	-3078524.890
	Δ (m)	-0.004	-0.020	-0.023
	LAGEOS-1	-2386278.201±0.008	-4802354.186±0.010	3444881.552±0.006
MONUME	LAGEOS-2	-2386278.202±0.009	-4802354.189±0.011	3444881.548±0.012
(7110)	Mean	-2386278.202	-4802354.188	3444881.550
	ITRF2000 ( X Y Z )	-2386278.211	-4802354.145	3444881.598
	Δ (m)	0.009	-0.043	-0.048
	LAGEOS-1	4194426.495±0.007	1162694.033±0.004	4647246.646±0.007
GRAZ	LAGEOS-2	4194426.494±0.006	1162694.034±0.005	4647246.646±0.008
(7839)	Mean	4194426.495	1162694.034	4647246.646
	ITRF2000 ( X Y Z )	4194426.517	1162694.033	4647246.650
	Δ (m)	-0.022	0.001	-0.004



	LAGEOS-1	-2674386.763±0.023	3757189.253±0.011	4391508.396±0.013
CHANGC	LAGEOS-2	-2674386.782±0.024	3757189.242±0.014	4391508.395±0.028
(7237)	Mean	-2674386.773	3757189.248	4391508.396
	ITRF2000 ( X Y Z )	-2674386.739	3757189.307	4391508.401
	Δ (m)	-0.034	-0.059	-0.005
	LAGEOS-1	1984104.345±0.014	-5068867.136±0.011	-3314482.617±0.012
SANJUA	LAGEOS-2	1984104.335±0.061	-5068867.131±0.025	-3314482.618±0.026
(7406)	Mean	1984104.340 -5068867.134		-3314482.618
	Epoch2008.5 (XYZ)	1984104.385	-5068867.122	-3314482.627
	Δ (m)	-0.045	-0.012	0.009
	LAGEOS-1	-2830744.265±0.112	4676580.307±0.080	3275072.892±0.107
SHANGH (7821)	LAGEOS-2	-2830744.272±0.066	4676580.299±0.034	3275072.910±0.035
	Mean	-2830744.269	4676580.303	3275072.901
	Epoch2008.5 (XYZ)	-2830744.161	4676580.368	3275072.922
	Δ (m)	-0.108	-0.065	-0.021



# Summary

- For LAGEOS, the present ability of our precise orbit determination work is in the middle level about 1 to 2 cm;
- In the case of our study, the choice of gravity model has slight effect on precision of LAGEOS orbit determination. The influencing order in on submm level.
- It can also been seen that for LAGEOS, although the observation quantity of Changchun is ranked round about 10th, the observation quality is only in moderate level, and has obvious gap with high-performance station.
- The station coordinates can be determinated in POD process, and the proper value can do help to improve orbit determination precision.
- The cause of variability of station coordinates need to be further investigated.
- We wish the POD work in Changchun will build a bridge which can connect theoretical research and observational work.



