



Improvement of Current Refraction Modeling in Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR) by Ray Tracing through Meteorological Data

Glynn Hulley

Erricos C. Pavlis

Joint Center for Earth Systems Technology (JCET) - NASA/GSFC



15th International Laser Ranging Workshop Extending the Range 15-20 October 2006, Canberra, Australia





- Introduction
- Motivation
- AIRS ray tracing
- Global horizontal gradient results
- Seasonal, diurnal effects at Yarragadee
- AIRS uncertainties
- Effects of gradients on real SLR data
- Conclusions



Introduction

- Atmospheric refraction introduces significant errors in Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR) at present
- Current zenith delay model (M-P) has submillimeter accuracy
- Mapping function has sub-centimeter accuracy down to 10° elevation
- All models assume spherically symmetric atmosphere
- Need to account for horizontal gradients in refractivity to improve models



Motivation

- Horizontal gradients largest source of error in SLR
- Need to be accounted for to improve accuracy of refraction corrections
- Introduce centimeter-level errors at low elevation angles
- Predominantly a function of temperature gradients
- Vary by season, latitude dependence, topography and proximity to large bodies of water
- We look at AIRS and NCEP results during 2004 for a set of core SLR stations



- Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS)
 - 100 levels from surface to 0.1 mb
 - Granules are 1600 (EW) x 2300 (NS) km
 - 50 km resolution
 - Temperature, water vapor other geophysical parameters
- Accuracy: 1.5 K/km RMS near surface, 1 K/km in troposphere, ~2 K in stratosphere
- Provides rapid and temporal global coverage
- 3d Refractivity profiles around SLR tracking stations
- ECMWF and NCEP profiles used as 'validation'





Horizontal refractivity gradients are predominantly a function of temperature gradients

AIRS Gradient delays at 10 degrees elevation for Jan. 1 to Dec. 31 2004

| Station | NS Grad | ient | EW Gradient | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|---------------|------|--|
| | Mean (mm) | Std | Mean (mm) Std | | |
| Greenbelt, MD | -2.5 | 11.9 | -1.5 | 9.8 | |
| Monument Peak, CA | -1.5 | 11.0 | 1.8 | 12.3 | |
| McDonald, TX | -1.4 | 11.7 | -2.9 | 9.8 | |
| Herstmonceux, England | -3.5 | 12.3 | 0.1 | 9.5 | |
| Zimmerwald, Switzerland | -2.2 | 10.8 | 6.9 | 10.3 | |
| Graz, Austria | -3.3 | 10.9 | -0.4 | 8.7 | |
| Matera, Italy | -2.5 | 11.5 | 1.1 | 10.0 | |
| Hartebeesthoek, South Africa | 1.0 | 8.1 | 1.8 | 8.3 | |
| Mt Stromlo, Australia | 2.0 | 11.0 | 4.5 | 10.3 | |
| Yarragadee, Australia | 1.3 | 9.5 | 1.7 | 9.7 | |

NCEP Gradient delays at 10 degrees elevation for Jan. 1 to Dec. 31 2004

| Station | NS Grad | ient | EW Gradient | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-------------|-------|--|
| | Mean (mm) | Std | Mean (mm) |) Std | |
| Greenbelt, MD | -0.9 | 14.8 | -4.6 | 10.7 | |
| Monument Peak, CA | -1.5 | 14.7 | -1.7 | 12.7 | |
| McDonald, TX | -1.7 | 15.2 | -2.1 | 12.4 | |
| Herstmonceux, England | -2.7 | 14.6 | 2.0 | 14.0 | |
| Zimmerwald, Switzerland | -5.0 | 14.9 | 1.8 | 13.7 | |
| Graz, Austria | -7.3 | 14.6 | 0.1 | 13.6 | |
| Matera, Italy | -3.6 | 14.9 | 0.9 | 12.0 | |
| Hartebeesthoek, South Africa | 3.6 | 11.7 | 1.9 | 7.3 | |
| Mt Stromlo, Australia | 2.7 | 13.3 | -2.9 | 9.5 | |
| Yarragadee, Australia | 4.5 | 10.5 | -1.8 | 5.9 | |







AIRS Gradient delays at 10 degrees elevation for Jan. 1-Dec. 31 2004

| Station | Season | Time of day | NS Gradient RMS (mm) | EW Gradient RMS (mm) |
|--------------|--------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Yarragadee | Summer | day | 10.5 | 15.6 |
| | | night | 6.5 | 9.9 |
| | Winter | day | 11.6 | 10.3 |
| | | night | 9.1 | 8.4 |
| Herstmonceux | Summer | day | 14.1 | 10.1 |
| | | night | 12.8 | 9.4 |
| | Winter | day | 13.8 | 9.7 |
| | | night | 11.1 | 9.1 |



Effects of ray tracing on real SLR data

- AIRS/ECMWF/NCEP data temporally interpolated to coincide with station observation times.
- Surface temperature and pressures measured at station used in profiles.
- Residuals = obs calcs (M-P model)
- Total delay = delay (2D) + gradient correction
- Full 3D ray tracing includes gradient effects
- Unification of data sources, using global set of AIRS/ECMWF/NCEP atmospheric grids

| Station | Month | Method | Obs | $R - R_g$ | | $R - R_{2d}$ | | R – R _{tot} | |
|------------|---------|--------|-----|-----------|--------|--------------|------|----------------------|--------|
| | | | | ΔRM\$ | S Δvar | ΔRMS | Δvar | ARM | S Δvar |
| | | | | mm | % | mm | % | mm | % |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Yarragadee | Feb '04 | AIRS | 621 | 0.6 | 13.7 | 0.9 | 27.8 | 0.6 | 25.4 |
| | | ECMWF | 621 | 0.8 | 13.9 | 1.5 | 30.0 | 1.1 | 23.9 |
| | | NCEP | 621 | 0.8 | 17.7 | 1.0 | 38.0 | 0.8 | 36.6 |
| | Aug'04 | AIRS | 568 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 0.4 | 15.0 | 0.3 | 10.0 |
| | | ECMWF | 568 | 0.5 | 14.9 | 0.8 | 26.8 | 0.6 | 21.9 |
| | | NCEP | 568 | 0.8 | 23.6 | 0.7 | 21.0 | 0.6 | 17.8 |

R = O - C $R_g = O - (C + \Delta \operatorname{trop}_g)$ $R_{2d} = O - (C - \Delta \operatorname{trop}_{mp} + \Delta \operatorname{trop}_{2d})$ $R_{tot} = O - (C - \Delta \operatorname{trop}_{mp} + \Delta \operatorname{trop}_{2d} + \Delta \operatorname{trop}_g)$ $\Delta var = variance percent difference (%)$

- R Residuals
- O Observed ranges
- C Calculated ranges
- $\Delta trop_{mp}$ model correction
- $\Delta trop_{2d}$ ray tracing correction
- $\Delta trop_g$ gradient correction

| Station | Month | Method | Obs | $R - R_g$ | | $R - R_{2d}$ | | $R - R_{tot}$ | |
|------------|---------|--------|-----|-------------------------|------|-------------------------|------|---------------|------|
| | | | | $\Delta RMS \Delta var$ | | $\Delta RMS \Delta var$ | | ΔRMS Δvar | |
| | | | | mm | % | mm | % | mm | % |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Zimmerwald | Feb '04 | AIRS | 484 | 0.6 | 12.0 | 1.7 | 16.6 | 1.5 | 12.4 |
| | | ECMWF | 484 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 24.0 | 1.9 | 20.5 |
| | | NCEP | 484 | 0.9 | -2.7 | 0.7 | 27.6 | 1.1 | 8.5 |
| | Aug'04 | AIRS | 769 | 0.6 | 20.8 | 1.0 | 20.1 | 1.1 | 23.4 |
| | | ECMWF | 769 | 1.0 | 18.9 | 2.5 | 30.0 | 2.0 | 30.1 |
| | | NCEP | 769 | 0.4 | -9.0 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.3 | -7.8 |

R = O - C $R_g = O - (C + \Delta \operatorname{trop}_g)$ $R_{2d} = O - (C - \Delta \operatorname{trop}_{mp} + \Delta \operatorname{trop}_{2d})$ $R_{tot} = O - (C - \Delta \operatorname{trop}_{mp} + \Delta \operatorname{trop}_{2d} + \Delta \operatorname{trop}_g)$ $\Delta var = variance percent difference (%)$

- R Residuals
- O Observed ranges
- C Calculated ranges
- $\Delta trop_{mp}$ model correction
- $\Delta trop_{2d}$ ray tracing correction
- $\Delta trop_g$ gradient correction



Conclusions

- Horizontal gradients need to be accounted for to improve SLR measurements for mm-geodesy
- Only significant at low elevation angles and are strongly correlated to temperature gradients
- Ray tracing (2D + gradients) reduce residual statistics by up to 2 mm RMS and 30% in variance
- Unification of data sources will help, using AIRS/ECMWF/NCEP atmospheric grids

- Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS)
 - 100 levels from surface to 0.1 mb
 - Granules are 1600 (EW) x 2300 (NS) km
 - 40.5 km resolution within grid
 - Data is obtained twice-daily
- ECMWF
 - 60 levels from surface to 0.1 mb
 - 0.5° resolution
 - Analysis files at 00, 06, 12 and 18 hrs UTC
- NCEP
 - 17 levels from surface to 10 mb
 - -2.5° resolution
 - Analysis files at 00, 06, 12 and 18 hrs UTC

$$\begin{aligned} d_{atm} &= 10^{-6} \int_{atm} N \cdot ds + \left[\int_{ray} ds - \int_{vac} ds \right] \\ N_h &= 0.82 f_h(\lambda) Z_d R_d \frac{M_d}{ZR} \left[\frac{P}{T} - (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{e}{T} \right] \\ N_{nh} &= -0.82 \varepsilon f_h(\lambda) \left(\frac{Z_d}{Z} \right) \left(\frac{e}{T} \right) + 0.72 f_{nh}(\lambda) \left(\frac{Z_w}{Z} \right) \left(\frac{e}{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$P = P_o \left(\frac{T}{T_o}\right)^{\frac{g}{R_d\alpha}}$$
$$\rho = \frac{h}{\tan E} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cos^2 E}{\tan^2 E} \frac{h}{r_s}\right)$$