#### **Time-Variable Gravity from SLR and DORIS Tracking**



**Goddard Space Flight Center** 

#### F.G.Lemoine<sup>1</sup>, S.M. Klosko<sup>2</sup>, C.M. Cox<sup>3</sup>, T.J. Johnson<sup>4</sup>

- (1) Planetary Geodynamics Laboratory, Solar System Exploration Division
- NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 698, Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA
- (2) SGT Inc., Greenbelt, Maryland 20770, USA
- (3) Raytheon Integrated Defense Systems, Arlington, Virginia 22202, USA
- (4) US Naval Observatory, Washington, DC 20392, USA

15th International Laser Ranging Workshop Canberra, Australia October 15-20, 2006

FGL/CMC 061005

#### Introduction



- GRACE is providing a valuable new source of high resolution gravity data for assessment of surface mass transport
- Intercomparison of this new technology with SLR/DORIS based results can accomplish several objectives:
  - Validation of GRACE, where the performance of the SLR/DORIS tracking allows
  - Improvement of the SLR/DORIS processing via new models, processing algorithms, and independent quality assurance
  - Thereby leveraging GRACE into the pre-GRACE era
- The final objective being to provide valid and useful geoid change and surface mass transport over the past ~25 years for geophysical analysis
- This is only possible because of the tracking services and missions





TERNATIONA



#### **New SLR Processing**



- Data from Lageos-1/2, Starlette, Stella, Westpac, Ajisai, GFZ-1, TOPEX/Poseidon, and BE-C
- All SLR/DORIS data reprocessed using:
  - ITRF2000 Reference frame + corrections
  - GGM01C GRACE gravity model
  - IERS2003 Solid Earth Tides, including anelasticity
  - GOT00.2 Ocean Tides
    - > Self-Consistent equilibrium long period tides, including 18.6-yr ocean tide
  - NCEP-derived atmospheric gravity *variations wrt 2000-2001 mean* modeled
    - Monthly, 20x20 correction
    - IB assumed for Ocean
  - Observed annual gravity terms to *Nmax* = 4 forward modeled
- Time Variable Gravity Solution(s):
  - 30x30 Static, 6x6 Rate + Annual and 4x4 Semi-Annual
  - 4x4 monthly series

#### **Satellite Tracking Temporal Coverage**











#### **C<sub>2,0</sub> Time Series:** What happened to the 1998 anomaly?





Shown with 1980-1997 slope removed (1.34 x 10<sup>-11</sup> per year) Post 1997 slope nearly identical (1.36 x 10<sup>-11</sup> per year)





Color range: +/- 2 mm Geoid change for 1x10<sup>-10</sup> change in value



# **C<sub>2,0</sub>: Comparison: SLR vs GRACE monthly**





Formal Errors shown for SLR





Formal Errors shown for SLR





Formal Errors shown for SLR

### **C**<sub>2,2</sub>: **SLR vs GRACE monthly**





Formal Errors shown for SLR

### S<sub>2,2</sub>: SLR vs GRACE monthly





Formal Errors shown for SLR

# **C**<sub>3,0</sub>: **SLR vs GRACE** monthly





Formal Errors shown for SLR

## C<sub>4,0</sub>: SLR vs GRACE monthly





Formal Errors shown for SLR

# **Annual and Semi-Annual Variation** (mov) **SLR/DORIS Derived using 1979-1997** Resolution: ~3300 km Inverted Barometer used for Ocean Nmax=6 Annual, Nmax=4 Semi January January

of water

SLR/DORIS Derived using 1998-2005 Inverted Barometer used for Ocean *Nmax*=6 Annual, *Nmax*=4 Semi of water



Power in GRACE comparable to pre 1998 SLR GRACE (UT/CSR) Derived using 2002-2004 Includes wind and pressure driven ocean SLR/DORIS C<sub>2,0</sub> terms used, *Nmax*=6

#### **Annual Signal Strength and Uncertainty**





#### **SLR Observed Geoid Rates Through Degree 6**





Period: 1979-2004

#### SLR Observed Geoid Rates: 1979-1997





Post-Glacial Rebound model coefficients courtesy Erik Ivins of JPL

#### Lower Mantle Viscosity: 1.5x10<sup>-21</sup> PaS



100x10<sup>-21</sup> PaS



#### Variability in the Observed Geoid Rates





Period: 1996-2001

#### GRACE Geoid Rates, 2002-2004



**Based on fits of mean, rate, annual, and semi-annual terms to coefficients of UT/CSR** Level-2 gravity field products, *Nmax* = 6.



With Level-2 C2,0 Rate

Using SLR/DORIS C2,0 Rate for 1999-2004

#### **SLR/DORIS** and **GRACE**



Despite the difference between the five and two year periods for the solutions SLR/DORIS and GRACE are seeing essentially the low/mid latitude signal



#### SLR/DORIS over 1999-2004

**GRACE** over 2002-2004

#### Conclusions



- 1998 C<sub>2,0</sub> anomaly appears to be a jump, or other interannual variation, not a long term state change
- Current GRACE C<sub>2.0</sub> does not agree with the SLR estimates
  - Otherwise GRACE and SLR/DORIS in reasonable agreement at degree 2
  - Significant disagreement in other zonal terms
- Overall SLR/DORIS and GRACE annual structure agrees
- Calibrated GRACE error bars seem reasonable
- Long wavelength rate terms
  - SLR/DORIS has the precision and long history necessary to address the long term geoid rate problem
    - > Yields statistically significant geoid rates rates up to *Nmax* = 6 (~3300 km)
  - For the pre 1998 period the observed geoid rates are similar to Post Glacial Rebound predictions for the polar regions
  - Significant interannual variation is evident at time scales of 5-6 years
  - GRACE rate information shows larger geoid rates over a span of two years
    - > Some similarities with SLR solution spanning the period

#### **Future work**



- Recompute time series using updated forward models.
- Add new satellites to time series:
  - Jason-2 (SLR/DORIS corrected for SAA);
  - Geosat (Doppler/Xover);
  - GFO (Doppler/Xover)
  - Etalons
  - DORIS Data