



## Multiwavelength Refraction Modeling Improvements for Laser Ranging Observations

Glynn Hulley<sup>(1)</sup>, Erricos C. Pavlis<sup>(1)</sup> V. B. Mendes<sup>(2)</sup> and D. E. Pavlis<sup>(3)</sup> <sup>(1)</sup>JCET/UMBC - NASA/GSFC <sup>(2)</sup> FCUL, Lisboa, Portugal <sup>(3)</sup> Raytheon ITSS - NASA/GSFC

14th International Workshop on Laser Ranging June 7-11, 2004, San Fernando, Spain







- UMBC/JCET is PI for AIRS instrument on NASA's AQUA spacecraft of EOS program
- When the mission is declared operational (real soon!!!), it will provide quick access to global fields of temperature, water vapor, and other geophysical environmental parameters daily in rapid mode
- Such data can be used for improved atmospheric delay modeling (e.g. gradients)







### Illustration of the AIRS/AMSU Field-of-Regard (FOR)

![](_page_2_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### AIRS Products - Temperature Goddard Space Fight October 10, 2003

![](_page_3_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Channel: Retrieved Skin Surface Temperature**

![](_page_3_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Channel: Total Water Vapor Burden

![](_page_4_Figure_4.jpeg)

06/7-11/04

Erricos C. Pavlis/JCET/NASA/926

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

## AIRS Products - Coverage October 10, 2003

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Summary of Geophysical Products

T(p)	vertical temperature profile
q(p)	vertical water vapor profile ( $\approx 8 \text{ g/kg} @ \text{surface}$ )
L(p)	vertical liquid water profile (f/ AMSU/HSB)
$O_3(p)$	vertical ozone profile ( $\approx 8$ ppmv @ 6 mb)
$T_s$	surface temperature
$\epsilon( u)$	spectral surface emissivity, (e.g., $0.95 @ 800 cm^{-1}$ )
$ ho_{\odot}( u)$	spectral surface reflectivity of solar radiation
$P_{ m cld}$	cloud top pressure for $\leq 2$ cloud levels
$lpha_{ m cld, fov}$	cloud fraction for $\leq$ 2 cloud levels and 9 FOV's
$CO_2$	total column carbon dioxide ( $\approx 363 \text{ ppmv}$ )
$CH_4(p)$	methane profile ( $\approx 1.65$ ppmv)
CO(p)	carbon monoxide profile ( $\approx$ 0.11 ppmv)
Ancillary Information Needed for Retrieval	
$P_s$	surface pressure (f/ forecast)
$\theta$	satellite zenith angle
$ heta_{\odot}$	solar zenith angle
$\epsilon_{{ m cld}, u}$	spectral cloud emissivity for $\leq 2$ cloud levels

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. ???, XXXX, DOI:10.1029/,

#### **High-Accuracy Zenith Delay Prediction at Optical Wavelengths**

#### V. B. Mendes

Laboratório de Tectonofísica e Tectónica Experimental and Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal

#### E. C. Pavlis

Joint Center for Earth Systems Technology, University of Maryland Baltimore County and NASA Goddard 926, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

A major limitation in accuracy in modern satellite laser ranging is the modeling of atmospheric refraction. Recent improvements in this area include the development of mapping functions to project the atmospheric delay experienced in the zenith direction to a given elevation angle. In this paper, we derive zenith delay models from revised equations for the computation of the refractive index of the atmosphere, valid for a wide spectrum of optical wavelengths. The zenith total delay predicted with these models were tested against ray tracing through radiosonde data from a full year of data, for 180 stations distributed worldwide, and showed sub-millimeter accuracy for wavelengths ranging from 0.355  $\mu$ m to 1.064  $\mu$ m.

![](_page_7_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

## New ZD Model Performance

Goddard Space Flight Center

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_4.jpeg)

06/7-11/04

Erricos C. Pavlis/JCET/NASA/926

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

## AIRS Data I

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

N-S and E-W Refractivity Gradients at altitude For each AIRS "granule" these fields are generated and processed at a maximum of 28 levels. The contribution from levels above the 10 km altitude can be neglected at the moment.

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

## AIRS Data II

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Total Horizontal Delay**

Total East-West and North-South gradient coefficients are integrated through all layers of data to produce a quantitative picture of the total effect (left). Gradient profiles at four different azimuth angles and for an 80° elevation direction.

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

## AIRS Data III

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Land Granule Case

Ignoring horizontal gradients is most likely the largest error in ray-tracing techniques at low elevation angles, but they also correct a small bias for nearzenith directions. We can see from the figures on the right, that the delay is greatest in the north-south direction (azimuths 180° and 360°).

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

## AIRS Data VI

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Ocean Granule Case**

The increase of the delay at low elevations is mainly due to a decrease in temperature from the equator to the poles as can be seen in left figures. In this "ocean" case, the temperature gradients are greatest over the ocean where the latitude of the granule runs from -38° to -17°. Notice the doubling of the errors at 10° elevation between the "land" and the "ocean" example cases.

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

- The system that we are developing in place retrieves the AIRS and ancillary data, several times a day around ILRS sites
- The data are preprocessed and converted to quantities useful in the derivation of the local gradients' functions (G<sub>NS</sub> and G<sub>EW</sub>)
- The gradient formulation to be adopted is under investigation, including the possibility of an altogether brand new one.

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

# A possible (currently used) model for adoption is one similar to what was used in prior studies with VLBI data:

$$m_{az}(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\sin(\varepsilon) \cdot \tan(\varepsilon) + 0.0032}$$

$$L_{ns} = 10^{-6} \int_{0}^{H} \nabla N_{ns} \cdot h \cdot dh$$

$$L_{ew} = 10^{-6} \int_{0}^{H} \nabla N_{ew} \cdot h \cdot dh$$

$$L_{az} = L_{ns} \cdot m_{az}(\varepsilon) \cdot \cos(\phi) + L_{ew} \cdot m_{az}(\varepsilon) \cdot \sin(\phi)$$

but other approaches are being examined and in the computations we adopted the [*Mendes and Pavlis*, 2004] refractivity formula.

06/7-11/04

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

Summary

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

- We are able to utilize existent AIRS data to generate fields with delays due to horizontal gradients.
- JCET is developing an automated procedure to generate these fields for all ILRS sites on an operational basis.
- We are investigating 3-D ray-tracing algorithms using these fields to compute directly the total delay, thus avoiding the use of mapping functions entirely.

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

## AIRS on AQUA "First Light over the Med"

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

## AIRS on AQUA "First Light over the Med"

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

06/7-11/04

Erricos C. Pavlis/JCET/NASA/926

**18**