#### INTERNATIONAL LASER RANGING SERVICE ANALYSIS WORKING GROUP

#### MINUTES OF AWG MEETING

Perugia, Italy July 10, 2007

ERRICOS C. PAVLIS JCET/UMBC – NASA GODDARD VICENZA LUCERI E-GEOS, S.P.A. - ASI AWG COORDINATORS





JCET-ISG-2007-04

JOINT CENTER FOR EARTH SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND BALTIMORE COUNTY BALTIMORE, MARYLAND, 21250

AUGUST 13, 2007

### <u>AWG Summer meeting – Uni. Of Perugia, DICA July 10, 2007 Starting at: 9:00 am in Aula 13</u>



DICA - Università degli Studi di Perugia Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Ambientale Via Duranti, 93, 06125 Perugia (PG), ITALY http://www.dica.unipg.it

#### **Opening – Agenda review and adjustment & announcements**

A special meeting of the AWG took place at the School of Environmental Engineering of the University of Perugia, during the second week of the 2007 General Assembly of the IUGG. This meeting was intended to give the ACs and CCs a second opportunity to discuss in detail some of the action items that they were tasked with during the previous AWG meeting in Vienna, Austria. To accomplish this within a day, no other reports were allowed for and participants were kept to a minimum, with only the key-persons from each AC/CC. The list of the attendees is appended after the brief description of the topics that were discussed.

#### 1) Introduction

The meeting begun with a more detailed announcement about the Unified Analysis Workshop (UAW) that Markus Rotacher is organizing for the end of the year. The dates and a general list of topics have been proposed. When more concrete information is available, we will distribute them to all. What was known at this time were the following:

- Dates: December 5-7, just prior to the fall AGU meeting, in the San Francisco area
- It is expected that the workshop will comprise of 5-6 representatives from each technique, principally from the group of analysts and product combination groups.
- 2) LLR report (given here since it was left off the Vienna program)

Jürgen Mueller (IFE/Uni-Hannover), the LLR representative, gave a brief presentation of the state of things in this area, with emphasis in the network (past, current, and future), the analysis procedures, the science done and some of the concerns they have. At present they work with data from McDonald and a very limited amount from Apache Point/APOLLO; Wettzell will start in the next 2-3 years, and Grasse is expected to come back next year. A lot of the data in the 90s, fit at 2 cm residual wrms in that period, but the fits worsen to 4 cm in the recent years. The increase seems to be due to the stop of Grasse and the use of worse observations from McDonald, from 2002 on. As far as the new APOLLO data, 70 NPs from April to December 2006, they do not fit nearly as well as expected and have been downweighted to avoid outright rejection in the analysis. The reason behind this is not clear, calibration problem? Some of the

scientific topics of analysis include the determination of G,  $\dot{G}$ , and  $\ddot{G}$  :

$$\dot{G} / G \approx (2\pm7) \ 10^{-13} \ y^{-1}$$
 and  $\ddot{G} / G \approx (4\pm5) \ 10^{-15} \ y^{-2}$ 

One of the areas LLR is useful for is the recovery of UT0 in 70s, when no other precise data are available.

- Historical LAGEOS data analysis (1983-1992) 3)
- status: DGFI and GFZ still missing, CC only started, no results. Single solutions should be delivered to CCs by the end of August, combination by the end of September, Grasse
- list of sites for EOP referencing (from SLR2005) and core sites from the bias analysis (action item Luceri)
- 4) Recent data analysis and reports on action items from Vienna:

#### Appleby / NSGF AC

Stanford corrections for Herstmonceux: One-way correction at -5.5 ± 2 mm

Herstmonceux : from Oct. 1, 1994 to Jan. 31, 2002, because of the other range bias (ranges were too short by  $8 \pm 2$  mm), the combination implies that we should **add** 2.5 mm to one-way ranges. From Feb. 1, 2002 to Feb. 10, 2007 we must subtract only the 5.5 mm due to the Stanford ET nonlinearities. A preliminary table for other stations was compiled and Potsdam is under test. Corrections for Potsdam will be sent to Luceri and tests will be done for a short period before sending a message to the AWG. It was suggested that NSGF contact Francis Pierron and ask that FTLRS participate in the Stanford ET comparisons.

#### Luceri / ASI AC

A bias analysis was performed for the all the sites of the network. The problematic sites were presented together with suggestions for the bias to be applied in the next re-analysis. After a careful examination of the findings and the options presented by Luceri, it was agreed that she will contact the stations and everything should be clarified and accepted by the end of July. Horst Müller of DGFI is also compiling biases for the network, so an exchange of results will be done for comparison. After the completion of this study, a new list of sites for EOP referencing will be prepared (new "core" sites for the NEOS product referencing). Some of the key-observations for a handful of sites:

- Zimmerwald: there is a conflict between the station-reported biases and those supported by the data analysis
- Riyadh: check the early years signature and delete data prior to 2001
- Grasse: eliminate from NEOS list
- Katziveli: delete data prior to 1998
- Quincy: ~10 mm (L1) and 7 mm (L2) due to barometer bias
- Beijing: Delete data prior to installation of the SPAD
- Urumqi: Only 2003 data to be used
- Kunming: Delete data during frequency eror
- Potsdam: Stanford correction to be used for the early data (Appleby will supply)
- Borowiec: Delete 1993 data, 2003:88 (???)
- Bar Giyyora: Keep only last occupation

A resolution of the various station-dependent issues, after discussions with the responsible engineers, will be communicated to the AWG as soon as it is ready (soon!).

#### Kelm / DGFI CC

Rainer Kelm presented a comparison between ILRSA and ILRSB combination results. He finds that GA and NSGF are more "noisy" than the rest of the ACs. A comment from Sciarretta on the GA submissions, suggests that GA still has a problem with their LOD estimates, since they are **marginally** acceptable. Results of test combinations for the 1983-1993 series were also shown: 2 weeks, one in 1983 and another in 1989. Kelm proposes the use of the two-digit numbering convention for the new time series 1983 -> starting from 10, the second digit to be changed for re-submissions due to errors and such. Pavlis will check with Carey that the archives keep ONLY the latest version of the submission files from each AC.

#### 5) Interim *a priori* SLR-ITRF

#### Luceri / ASI AC

As agreed at the last AWG, a new TRF has been generated from the combination of ITRF2000, ITRF2005 and the new stations added after the development of ITRF2005. Tentatively its name is SLR2005, although it is not based ONLY on SLR data, simply to denote that it contains only SLR sites. This TRF will be temporary and used by the AWG until a new ILRS reference frame is constructed from the official ILRS combined weekly solutions. Comparisons with ITRF2000 and ITRF2005 were presented in terms of coordinate residuals and velocities. The new reference frame seems to combine the best from the two input ITRFs. It was agreed to use only one velocity for Arequipa estimated with the data before the earthquake (SOLN 1). It will be sent to Horst Mueller for tests. It will be completed with the EPOCH BLOCK (from Pavlis) and the ECCENTRICITY BLOCK.

**NOTE ADDED DURING COMPILATION:** Solution is ready and will be distributed as soon as the checks have been completed (H. Müller and Pavlis action).

#### Sciarretta / ASI CC

Ideas and timeline for the stacked ILRS reference frame (ILRS05) were presented. The static solution (over 1983-2007) will be ready within the end of December. This reference frame will be updated weekly; algorithms for routine update will be studied starting from January. Kelm agrees with the proposed timeline.

#### 6) New products

#### Orbits

ASI is ready to distribute the orbits in SP3c format. Luceri will send SP3 files, one for each satellite, to Pavlis in the ftp area set by Carey. Tests to be done by Pavlis and Mueller with their versions for those weeks. It was agreed that orbit files be referenced in "final" AC-TRF for the specific week and in separate files for each satellite.

CCs are already working on the algorithm for the combination to an official file

#### Daily production of ILRS NEOS submissions

The proposal for the daily submission is to deliver loose solutions each day, similar to the actual standard ones and covering the data until midnight of the day before (which is dictated by the data latency for the core sites). H. Müller commented here that there is still a harmonization problem between the two DACs, often finding different data between the two (especially for McDonald, Yarragadee, and Hartesbesthoek). The single solutions will be combined daily and the "core site"-constrained EOP will be delivered daily from CC to the community through NEOS. The combined loose solution will still be delivered once a week. A non-public archive will be set at CDDIS and EDC for daily ACs solution. Pavlis will talk with Carey Noll and Seemüller to setup the directories. AC submissions ASAP and CC report at Grasse.

Important note from the CCs: The ACs should check their production lines of their SINEXs to ensure that they comply with the format, contents are correct and even naming conventions are followed (e.g. JCET AC is missing "pos+eop" from the file names). Sciarretta agreed to send out a list of "recurring" mistakes and the culprits, so that we can ensure we correct these prior to sending out daily products. This is VERY IMPORTANT, since there is no time to manually correct such mistakes on a daily basis! PLEASE, give this top priority.

7) Grasse Technical ILRS workshop (September 24-28)

Pavlis showed the program of the workshop focusing on the sessions having a close link with the AWG activities. Many of the workshop sessions will be used to "extend" the AWG workshop activities in order to cover more topics than a single day meeting would allow.

- 8) Improvement of current products
  - Test dataset for ECMWF still to be prepared
  - Target signature, product to be improved (we will discuss this at Grasse)

#### Action items

<ul> <li>Open action items</li> </ul>	s from past AWG meetings
ACs	prepare for new format SLR data
ACs	include conversion of orbit solutions into SP3c format (step-size 2 minutes
	for LAGEOS; 15 minutes for Etalon)
AWG	re-assess AWG core stations status + general ILRS classification
AWG	make overview of station activities 1993-present, based on eccentricity file and "pos+eop" info
CCs	prepare for combination of SP3c files
Mareyen	develop 2-day analysts get-together in Frankfurt(???)

Mareyen	investigate reasons for degradation of BKG(???) contribution to operational product
Müller (H)	develop slr_discontinuities file further (1992-2006)
Müller (Jürgen)	develop validation plan for (new) LLR stations
Noomen, Pearlman, Gurtner	homogenization of QC reports
Noomen	get letter expressing general support for ILRS activities from IERS chairman
Noomen, Luceri, Gurtner	develop report with pos+eop use for stations and managers
Noomen	organize guest editorial board for JoG special issue
Noomen	check IERS procedure for station documentation after earthquakes and such
Noomen	get Delft QC procedure running again
Pavlis	check of the GRGS orbits and transformation matrices
Pearlman	remind Simosato to become IGS station

#### New action items

Pavlis	dataset for the test on the models of atmospheric loading and gravity
Pavlis, Luceri	new ITRF for SLR analysis
Luceri	new list of core sites from SLR2005 for daily EOP referencing
Luceri	contact stations to rationalize biases seen in the data analysis
Müller (H)	exchange and compare bias estimates with Luceri
Appleby	send Luceri the Potsdam Stanford ET corrections to test
Appleby	contact Francis Pierron to test their Stanford ET
Müller (H), Pavlis, Luceri	exchange and compare orbits in SP3c format
Pavlis	check with GA/Mt. Stromlo the reason for delayed submissions of data
Pavlis	check with Noll that ONLY latest SINEX versions are online
Pavlis	check with Noll and Seemüller to generate archive for daily submissions
Pavlis, Luceri	pilot project for the generation of a bias list, etc.
Müller (H), Pavlis, Luceri	validate the SLR2005 (final version)
ACs	verify that your SINEXs are formatted correctly for daily submissions !!!
CCs	start combination from pre-1993 time series, after DGFI & GFZ submission
ACs and CCs	work on generating daily submission of weekly solutions

#### **Closing comments**

See you all in Grasse!

#### Participants

Graham Appleby Giuseppe Bianco Johannes Ihde Rainer Kelm Vincenza Luceri Horst Müller Jürgen Müller Erricos C. Pavlis Mike Pearlman Markus Rothacher Cecilia Sciarretta gapp@nerc.ac.uk giuseppe.bianco@asi.it johannes.ihde@bkg.bund.de kelm@dgfi.badw.de cinzia\_luceri@telespazio.com mueller@dgfi.badw.de mueller@mbox.ife.uni-hannover.de epavlis@umbc.edu mpearlman@cfa.harvard.edu rothacher@gfz-potsdam.de cecilia.sciarretta@telespazio.com

#### ANNEX

•

#### Presentations at AWG meeting of 07/07/10

AWG\_Perugia2007\_presentations.pdf

# Lunar Laser Ranging 2007

## Jürgen Müller<sup>1</sup>, L. Biskupek<sup>1</sup>, U. Schreiber<sup>2</sup>

Institut f
ür Erdmessung, Leibniz University of Hannover, Germany
 Technical University Munich and Wettzell Observatory, Munich, Germany





# Contents

### Introduction

- Motivation

### Lunar Laser Ranging

- Data distribution and accuracy
- Scientific potential
  - (example gravitational constant)
- Future requirements

### Conclusions



# Motivation

- Observations (37 years) and modelling at cm-level
- Long-term stability (orbit, reference frames, EOPs)
- Determination of
  - + Earth-Moon dynamics
  - + relativity parameters



### Observatories on the Earth



### **Deployment of the Retro-Reflectors**



Apollo 11 Apollo 14 July 1969



Jan./Feb.`71

Apollo 15 Jul./Aug.`71



Luna 17 Nov.`70...



Luna 21 Jan.`73...









# LLR Observations per Year



ife

### **Distribution of Observations per Synodic Month**



→ large data gaps near Full and New Moon



# **Annual Residuals**



# **Error Study: Integration**

- Data: 12.1969 11.2005
- Remove data of the first 5, 10, 15 years
- Calculation of new initial parameters



# **Use of New APOLLO Data**

- New site APOLLO in New Mexico (USA),
  - ,mm accuracy"
  - 3,5 m telescope
  - Improved receiver optics
  - Local control measurements
- Software changes  $\rightarrow$  7 stations
- 70 normal points (04.06 12.06)
- Accuracy of observatiosn down-scaled by 10







# **LLR Observation Equation**



- effect: several meters

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

Investigation of secular and quadratic variations

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_0 \left( 1 + \frac{\dot{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathbf{G}} \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ddot{\mathbf{G}}}{\mathbf{G}} \Delta t^2 \right)$$

Results

$$\frac{\dot{G}}{G} = (2 \pm 7) \cdot 10^{-13} \, \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$$

$$\frac{\ddot{G}}{G} = (4 \pm 5) \cdot 10^{-15} \, \text{yr}^{-2}$$

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Sensitivity Study for G

Sensitivity analysis via

$$\Delta r_{em}(\ddot{G}) = \delta r_{em} / \delta \ddot{G} * \Delta \ddot{G}$$

Separation of free and forced terms  $\rightarrow$  two orbit solutions: 1) perturbed, 2) un-perturbed  $\rightarrow$  difference

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

Leibniz Universität Hannover

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

ife

# **New Ranging Measurements – Why?**

New data needed to constrain lunar interior structure

- improve measurements of forced librations
- measure tidal distortion (amplitude and phase)

Iunar oscillations as response to large quakes or impacts?
 Improve on limits of relativistic effects

- time variability of the gravitational constant
- test of strong equivalence principle (Nordtvedt effect)

# Improve the tie between the lunar network and the radio reference frame (VLBI)

Above goals require more data, more accurate data, and unbiased measurements!

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Validation Plan for (new) LLR Sites ?

"We are happy with each single LLR measurement at all."

### **Possible rules**

- Quality, short/long-term biases?
- normal points per year/month, number of passes

Science requirements (input also from Pete Shelus)

- Lunar interior (sub-cm NPs, 1 hour, 5 times per month)
- Spacecraft navigation, ephemeris (sub-cm NPs, homogeneous distribution througout the month)
- General relativity (sub-cm NPs, as often as possible, i.e. covering all lunar phases, every lunation)

![](_page_22_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Conclusions

### Use of LLR

- Reference frames (ITRF, dynamic ICRF)
- Earth orientation (IERS)
- Dedicated investigations
  - Dynamics of the Earth-Moon system
  - Relativistic effects
  - Lunar rotation and interior
  - Lunar geodetic network

Connection of gravitational physics and geodetic areas

### Preparation of a new lunar ranging experiment

(combination with other techniques, support GGOS)

![](_page_23_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Results - Relativity**

Parameter	Results	
Nordtvedt parameter η	$(6 \pm 7) \cdot 10^{-4}$	
(violation of the strong equivalence principle)		
time variable gravitational constant $\dot{G}/G[yr^{-1}]$	$(2 \pm 7) \cdot 10^{-13}$	
$\ddot{G}/G[yr^{-2}]$ ( $\rightarrow$ unification of the fundamental interactions)	(4 ± 5) · 10 <sup>-15</sup>	
difference of geodetic precession $\Omega_{\text{GP}}$ - $\Omega_{\text{deSit}}$ ["/cy]	$(6 \pm 10) \cdot 10^{-3}$	
(1.92 "/cy predicted by Einstein's theory of gravitation)		
metric parameter $\gamma$ - 1 (space curvature; $\gamma$ = 1 in Einstein)	(4 ± 5) · 10 <sup>-3</sup>	
metric parameter $\beta$ - 1 (non-linearity; $\beta$ = 1)	$(-2 \pm 4) \cdot 10^{-3}$	
or using $\eta = 4\beta - \gamma_{Cassini} - 3$ with $\gamma_{Cassini} - 1$ (~10 <sup>-5</sup> )	(1.5 ± 1.8) ·10 <sup>-4</sup>	
fe	Leibniz	

. Universität Hannover

# **Results – Relativity (2)**

Parameter	Results
Yukawa coupling constant $lpha_{\lambda=400\ 000\ km}$	$(3 \pm 2) \cdot 10^{-11}$
(test of Newton's inverse square law for the Earth- Moon distance)	
special relativity ζ <sub>1</sub> - ζ <sub>0</sub> - 1	(-5 ± 12) · 10 <sup>-5</sup>
(search for a preferred frame within special relativity)	
influence of dark matter $\delta_{gc}$ [cm/s <sup>2</sup> ]	$(4 \pm 4) \cdot 10^{-14}$
(in the center of the galaxy; test of strong equivalence principle)	
preferred frame effect $\alpha_1$	(-4 ± 9) · 10 <sup>-5</sup>
(search for a preferred frame within general relativity)	
preferred frame effect $\alpha_2$	$(2 \pm 2) \cdot 10^{-5}$
(search for a preferred frame within general relativity)	

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

# **Reference Systems**

- Selenocentric (reflector coordinates, lunar rotation)
- Geocentric (station coordinates and velocities, Earth rotation)
- Inertial (Earth/Moon orbits, signal propagation)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Waiting for a new ILRS05: SLR2005

V. Luceri – e-GEOS S.p.A.

G. Bianco - Agenzia Spaziale Italiana

ILRS AWG Meeting, 10 July 2007, Perugia

### Waiting for a new ILRS05: SLR2005

The best from the latest SLR reference frames

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **SLR2005** generation flowchart

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

ASI.93-07 was downweighted and a subnetwork of "core sites"+"new sites" was extracted from the solution to be combined with the other 2 TRF with the aim to minimize its influence over the network.

### **Bad stations in ITRF2005 – edited before combination**

+SOLUTION/EPOCHS

*Code	$\mathbf{PT}$	SOLN	ΙT	Data_start	Data_end	Mean_epoch	
7122	А	1	C	92:364:20108	93:010:41381	93:004:30953	ok in ITRF2000
7123	А	1	C	93:112:25806	93:310:42948	93:211:34377	ok in ITRF2000
7883	А	1	C	93:335:28394	94:042:64147	94:006:46271	ok in ITRF2000
7882	А	1	C	94:075:53242	94:130:15383	94:102:77512	ok in ITRF2000
7411	А	1	C	94:193:04556	94:258:43738	94:225:67347	ok in ITRF2000
7525	А	1	C	94:199:13073	94:279:84021	94:239:48547	ok in ITRF2000
7520	А	1	C	95:238:65872	95:260:74471	95:249:70172	ok in ITRF2000
7847	А	1	C	96:098:46968	96:105:50693	96:102:05631	bad also in ITRF2000
7307	в	1 C	<b>9</b>	7:253:56118 97	:298:62932 97	276:16325 not	in ITRF2000, discarded
7307	D	1 C	<b>9</b>	9:260:46043 99	:288:43839 99	274:44941 not	in ITRF2000, discarded
7355	Α	1 C	<b>:</b> 0:	1:119:62133 01	:145:75983 01	:132:69058 not	in ITRF2000, discarded
7830	Α	1 C	: 0	3:097:70658 03	:290:51783 03	8:194:18021 not	in ITRF2000, discarded
7357	Α	1 C	: 0	3:217:45220 03	:290:67834 03	8:254:13327 not	in ITRF2000, discarded
7823	Α	1 C	! 0	4:172:04739 04	<b>:178:01370</b> 04	175:03054 not	in ITRF2000, discarded
7130	Α	1 C	<b>0</b>	5:213:45454 05	:307:03282 05	5:260:24368 not	in ITRF2000, discarded
7358	Α	1 C	: 0	5:214:30940 05	:333:72723 05	5:274:08632 not	in ITRF2000

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

### SLR2005 coordinate comparison (1)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

SLR2005 w.r.t. ITRF2005

All the stations with high residuals have a smaller sigma in SLR2005: a few sites highlighted in the plot

### SLR2005 coordinate comparison (2)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Edited sites: all SOLN > 1 for 7210, 7839, 7840, 7403 sites: 1953, 1868,1873,7884,7236,7530,1831,7589, 7294,7824A, 7502, 7505 7543,7850, 7097,7831,1893,7604, 7839,8833,7548,7356 Most of this sites have a longer history in ITRF2000

#### 40445M001 7210

### **Stations with jumps**

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)
11001S002 7839

#### **Stations with jumps**



#### **Velocities: North America**

zoom





#### **Velocities: Europe**



#### **Velocities: Western pacific**



### **OPEN POINTS**

- New sites: eccentricity vector for 7119 (Maui)
- Arequipa: weak velocity estimation between jumps in ITRF2005
- SOLUTION/EPOCH block to be refined in the SINEX file
- SOLUTION/ECCENTRICITY block to be inserted in the SINEX file





# **Next ILRS Combination Products**

# G. Bianco, C. Sciarretta, V. Luceri

ILRS AWG Meeting, July 10, 2007, Perugia, Italia

### **ILRS Combined Orbital Products**

#### Background

The purpose is to issue a combined set of State Vectors pertaining to the combined weekly EOP/SSC solution for Lageos I/II, Etalon I/II.

Each ILRS AC will provide the usual weekly solution complemented with orbital file(s), in the SP3 format (TBC), with a stated time pace (TBD) in a EF reference frame as realized in the weekly EOP/SSC solution (TBC).

# A possible combination scheme

#### Assumptions

>Weekly SVs provided by each AC in SP3 format (no information about covariance, ECEF)

SV combination procedure following a time scheduling coherent with the present SSC/EOP combination products latency to allow use of relevant information in the input-output flow

#### Approaches

➤Pure statistical combination (i.e. 'weighted mean')

SVs as pseudo-observations: physical modeling used to build a reference orbit corrected by means of the provided SVs (preferred by ASI-CGS)



# **ASI-CGS Combination Procedure - Prototyping phase**

ASI CGS just started the definition of a combination procedure, along the lines of the pseudo-observations data reduction, using SP3 test files internally generated.

We plan to present the results from these feasibility tests in Grasse, at the end of September.

The procedure prototyping will enlighten specific criticalities to be taken into account in the operational procedure development; in parallel, discussion within the ILRS AWG, together with other combination proposals, will clarify the SVs product specification as well as the compliance of the single AC SVs generation procedures to different product requirements.

After the production of the whole 1983-2007 set of combined weekly solutions, ILRS should generate an SLR-only, ITRF2005-framed SSV solution and update it systematically at a reasonable frequency by including the SSC weekly solutions being produced on.

This living SSV product has a very important operational impact, as it allows to have the best SLR coordinates at epoch for any site, while the official ITRFxx is frozen.

ASI-CGS has begun to work on this subject in cooperation with INGV (R. Devoti), where similar problems have to be faced to exploit the potentiality of a dense Italian GPS network.

The problem

The problem can be conceptually split in two:

static, classic problem of velocity field generation from a batch of not time correlated series of SSC solutions, to be solved taking into account all the available information (e.g full covariance matrices, geophysical and system catalogued 'jumps')

adding weekly SSC information in an incremental way

ASI-CGS has developed several SW tools to handle 1., even if modifications are needed to satisfy completely all the aspects; our revision work just started and we plan to be ready when the whole 1983-2007 combined solution set is produced.

About 2., ASI-CGS is approaching the design matrix modeling the mixed position/velocity problem in a recursive way:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{n} 'W_{n} A_{n} \end{pmatrix} k^{0}{}_{n} = A_{n} 'W_{n} y_{n}$$

$$A_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{1} \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & t_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{n-1} \\ 1 & t_{n} \end{pmatrix}; \quad k^{0}{}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{0}{}_{n} \\ \dot{x}^{0}{}_{n} \end{pmatrix}; \quad y_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{1} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ Y_{n} \end{pmatrix}$$



### **Status of ILRSB**

Rainer Kelm Deutsches Geodätisches Forschungsinstitut

Actual combination

Analysis 1983 - 1992

Reanalysis 1993 - 2007

**Daily Combination** 

SP3C

Proposals



#### Actual combination (1)

asi.pos+eop.070407:	3.21294	0.13082
bkg.pos+eop.070407:	11.79487	0.37012
dgfi.pos+eop.070407:	1.36680	0.06136
ga.pos+eop.070407:	24.41183	0.69992
gfz.pos+eop.070407:	0.35350	0.01154
jcet.pos+eop.070407:	1.58929	0.08698

asi.pos+eop.070630: bkg.pos+eop.070630: dgfi.pos+eop.070630: ga.pos+eop.070630: gfz.pos+eop.070630: jcet.pos+eop.070630: nsgf.pos+eop.070630:

0.82711	0.04672
2.28924	0.09567
0.79865	0.03132
6.35056	0.25073
0.79716	0.02614
0.80208	0.03596
17.38179	0.46753



#### Actual combination (3)

variance factors vf: 060107 - 070630







### Actual combination (5)

Helmert parameter tx: 060107 - 070630









#### Actual combination (9)



#### Actual combination (10)



Forschungsgruppe Satellitengeodásie

reil.

#### Actual combination (11)



#### Actual combination (12)



### Actual combination (13)





#### Actual combination (14)



### Analysis 1983 - 1992

- \* Software is updated
- \* Remarks to test week 890607:
  - BKG, DGFI, and GFZ solutions not available
  - NSGF solution deleted: no rotational rank deficiences
  - ASI: shift of one week 890615 instead of 890607
  - JCET and NSGF: missing .pos+eop in file name
  - VCE applicable as weighting and outlier analysis method
  - combined solution with GA and JCET solutions

ga.pos+eop.831121:	4.64476	0.41227
jcet.pos+eop.831121:	3.79798	0.32881
nsgf.pos+eop.831121:	11.46697	0.70269



#### Renalysis 1993 - 2007

\* waiting for new input solutions

### **Daily Combination**

\* waiting for input solutions





### Proposals

- \* only newest version in actual directory at CDDIS and EDC
- \* two-digit version numbering: 10, 11, 12, ... (proposal of Cecilia a long time ago)



# Progress on Systematic Effects in Stanford counters used for Laser Ranging Observations

Graham Appleby, and Philip Gibbs

Space Geodesy Facility, Herstmonceux, UK;



ILRS AWG, Perugia 10th July 2007



# Tests on counter linearity

- Relative to a 'perfect' time-of-flght counter, what are the characteristics of the counters in common use over the last 15+ years?
- Work was started by a careful examination of *Stanford* counters in use at Herstmonceux, relative to a high-spec, ps-level event timer.
- Studied effects at LAGEOS and at local calibration target distances.

Herstmonceux counters

- A ps-level event timer (HET) has been built in-house from *Thales* clock units;
- A prerequisite for the upcoming kHz operations.
- Extensive use of HET to calibrate existing cluster of *Stanford* counters prior to routine use of HET;
- In particular we wish to backcalibrate Hx data 1994-present.
Comparison between Hx ET and SRa,SRb & SRd





Comparisons between HxET and the Stanford counters for

calibration boards' distances;

Behaviour very similar to spec;

Errors up to 100ps (15mm), with some systematic detailed structure

## Summary of effect on range measurements at Herstmonceux (1994–2007)

- The non-linearity of the Stanfords:
- imparts an average of ~-5.5 ±2mm error onto the observed <u>calibration</u> range;
  - The calibrations are too short;
  - Hence calibrated satellite ranges are too long by 5.5mm.
- Value is dependent on the target range and on the particular Stanford;
  - Hence the inherent 2mm uncertainty in this correction

## Summary of effect on range measurements at Herstmonceux (1994–2007)

- At distance of <u>LAGEOS</u>, range error is ~ -8 ± 2mm;
  - observed raw LAGEOS ranges are too short
- So total range error is:
  - $+ 5.5 8.0 = -2.5 \pm 3$  m m
  - i.e. need to add 2.5mm to LAGEOS ranges
- This correction applies to the period 1994
   October 1 to 2002 January 31

## Summary of effect on range measurements at Herstmonceux (1994–2007)

- From 2002 February 1 the satellite-rangedependent correction has been applied on-site
- The calibration error has **not been applied**
- So for the period 2002 February 1-2007 February 10:
  - Subtract 5.5mm from all satellite ranges from Herstmonceux
- From 2007 February 11, range error for all satellites is ~zero, using new event timer

# Effect present in other ILRS



# Effect present in other ILRS stations?

- At this stage, we confine our investigation to Stanford counters;
  - Our limited experience with *e.g.* HP timers suggests they do not have problem - used by NASA network
- We have made 'worst case' estimates of calibration error and total range error at LAGEOS for all 'Stanford stations'
- Error span is -9 to +11mm, frequent error +10mm
- Uncertainty in these estimates is ~5mm

### Worse-case error estimates (mm)

Station		ID	Calibration error	LAGEOS error	Total error
BEIL	Beijing	7249	-12	+10	- 2
BORL	Borowiecz	7811	- 9	+ 0 meas	- 9
BREF	Brest	7604	-10	+10	0
GLSV	Kiev	1824	- 6	+10	+ 4
HELW	Helwan	7831	0	+10	+10
KTZL	Katzively, Ukraine	1893	О	+10	+10
KUNL	Kunming, China	7820	- 9	+10	+ 1
POT3	Potsdam	7841	0	+10	+10
POTL	Potsdam	7836	0	+ 5 meas	+ 5
SFEL	San Fernando	7824	0	+ 8 meas	+ 8
SISL	Simosato, Japan	7838	+1	+10	+11
SJUL	San Juan	7406	0	+10	+10
WUHL	Wuhan	7231	0	+10	+10
ZIML	Zimmerwald	7810	-3	+ 8 appl	- 3
Closed sites					
GRSI	Grasse	7835	- 1	10	11

**meas** = measured on particular Stanford counters; **appl** = applied at station

# Comments

- We emphasise the preliminary nature of this table;
  - The plots of the 3 Herstmonceux Stanford counters show large inter-counter differences;
- Calibration of each stations' counter(s) is essential.
- We are currently working on Potsdam counter;
- Want to get others a.s.a.p.;
- Particularly important to calibrate San Juan, San Fernando

# Summary/outlook

- We also note that:
- The stations are a subset of the full ILRS network, but do contain some core sites;
- The counters can be calibrated (ongoing) and data reprocessed;
  - Counter characteristics remain static over time;
- Several of the stations have already upgraded to higher-quality counters.

# Site range biases 1993-2007

V. Luceri – e-GEOS S.p.A.

G. Bianco - Agenzia Spaziale Italiana

ILRS AWG Meeting, 10 July 2007, Perugia

## Site list with range biases

					_		<b>J</b>	-	
0	0" N	10/201	Core						
Groups of sites	Site No.	wav	NonCore	Solve ?	Model ?	Dias in sol V50	SOLUTION PROPOSAL		
CORE SI TES	7080	G	C	NO	YES	—	MLRS Barometer Correction Values The o	prrect pressure can be recovere	d by adding the following corrections to the value
							Start Date	End Date	2.1 mR
							March 6, 1995	January 25, 1990	2.1 IIIB 10.2 mB
							April 25, 1996	April 24, 1990	9.7 mB
	7110	C	C	NO	VEC		April 23, 1990	Way 0, 1990, 20.00	
	7110	G	L	NO	YES	—	August 27, 1996	October 2, 02:50	Correction (one way) 163,6 mm
	7810	В	С	NO	YES		Start Date	End Date	Correction (one way)
							January 1997	July 9, 1997	-7.5 mm
							July 9, 1997	July 17, 1997	60 mm
							July 17, 1997	July 30, 1997	-7.5 mm
							July 30, 1997	Sept 30, 1997	-71.5 mm
							Sept 30, 1997	january 1998	-7.5 mm
							january 1998	May 29, 2002	-25.5
							May 29, 2002	Feb 6, 2006	-7.5 mm
							LAGEOS time bias for pass 97-0	8-15 23:18:57 23:24:	47 microsec 68.0
	7810	1	С	NO	YES	_	January 1997	Feb 6, 2006	-15 mm
	7832	G	С	NO	NO	_	data before 1998 to be edited	out	
	7839	G	С	NO	YES	1993.0 to September 1996	-20 mm from 1993 to septemb	er 1996	biased until april 1996? No information
	7840	G	С	NO	YES	_	Start Date	EndDate	Correction
							october 1, 1994	february 1, 2002	-1.5 mm (-10 +8.5 mm)
							february 1, 2002	february 10, 2007	8.5 mm
	8834	G	С	NO	YES	1993.0 - 1997.0	beginning	february 1992	-25 mm
							march 1992	may 1996	38 mm
							may 2000	to date	-13 mm
NON-CORE SI TES	1864	G	NC	YES		1993.0->	bias to be estimated over all the	period	
	1868	G	NC	YES		1993.0->	bias to be estimated over all the period		
	1884	G	NC	YES		1993.0->	data prior august 1994 to be deleted		
	7210	G	NC	NO	YES	1993.0-2005.0	stepwise bias to be applied (see presentation)		
	7237	G	NC	YES		1993.0->	bias to be estimated over all the period		
	7811	G	NC	NO	YES	1993.0-1994.0	data before 1993:202 to be edited		
							stepwise data to be applied (se	e presentation)	
	1873	G	NC	NO	NO		data before 1995.0 to be delete	ed	
	1893	G	NC	NO	NO		data before 1998.0 to be delete	ed	
	7109	G	NC	NO	NO		jump at 1995,0, no info		
	7236	G	NC	NO	NO		data after 1998.0 with biases (a few acqui	sitions until 2000)	
	7249	G	NC	NO	NO		data before 1999.0 to be delete	ed	
	7355	G	NC	NO	NO		use data only in 2003	u o vio al	
	7530	G		TES	NO		data before 00, 201, 00000 to	e penoa	
	7820	C	NC	NO	NO				
	7831	G		NO	NO		data in 1999 to be deleted, bias to be esti	mated in 1993	
	7838	0	NC	NO	NO				
	/841	G	NC	NO	NO		Jump at 2004:050 A031 Event Timer re	placing SR620 time interval c	ounter
	7845	G	NC	YES	NO		bias to be estimated over all the	period	

Black border= sites for EOP

40442M006 7080

#### **McDonald**



X = -1330021.100 m Y = -5328401.857 m Z = 3236480.766 m

MT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:52



MLRS Barometer Correction Values The correct pressure can be recovered by adding the following corrections to the values recorded in the data files:

Start Date	End Date	Correction	
March 6, 1995	January 25, 1996	2.1 mB	
January 26, 1996	April 24, 1996	10.3 mB	
April 25, 1996	May 8, 1996, 20:00	9.7 mB	

### McDonald – Lageos-1

#### 1 point every 7 days



40497M001 7110

#### Monument peak



1 2007 Jan 25 13:53:55

ITRF2005 Residuals analysis

30302M003 7501

#### Hartebeesthoek



X = 5085401.105 m Y = 2668330.193 m Z = -2768688.774 m

1 2007 Jan 25 13:53:50

14001S007 7810

Zimmerwald



MT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:37

#### Zimmerwald Range Biases from Zimmerwald web page

Date	Events, might of might not generate a change in the range biases
01 Jan 1997	ZIMLAT: Start of Operation
09 Jul 1997	Begin identified range bias
17 Jul 1997	End range bias
30 Jul 1997	Begin identified range bias
03 Sep 1997	End range bias
01 Jan 1998	Begin identified range bias
29 May 2002 00:00	End range bias
29 May 2002 00:00	Start applying Stanford counter corrections
11 Mar 2003 10:00	Blue: Start using CSPAD
28 Dec 2004 12:00	Blue: Swapped counters: 0236>3113
28 Dec 2004 12:00	Infrared: Swapped counters: 3113>0236
03 Feb 2006 15:00	Blue: Riga Event timer replaces Stanford
03 Feb 2006 15:00	Infrared: Applying new Stanford counter corrections
22 Mar 2006 12:00	Infrared: Riga Event timer replaces Stanford
21 Jun 2006 09:10	Blue and IR: Switched to external calibration
06 Mar 2007 17:00	Blue: Temporarily using PM again

Observations between 09 July 1997 and 17 July 1997: All ranges are too long by 0.45 ns = 68 mm Observations between 30 July 1997 and 03 Sept 1997: All ranges are too short by 0.43 ns = 64 mm Observations between January 1998 and 29 May 2002, 00:00 UT: All ranges are too short by 0.12 ns = 18 mm. After february 6, 2006 423 nm: Lageos 1/2 flight times will become shorter by about 50 ps 846 nm: Lageos 1/2 flight times will become longer by about 100 ps



#### Zimmerwald: Lageos-1 range residuals from solution ASI06

1 point every 15 days



#### Zimmerwald: Lageos-2 range residuals from solution ASI06

1 point every 15 days



20101S001 7832

#### Riyadh



11001S002 7839

#### GRAZ



Reference Position of the plot :



SLRMail 0013: new calibration from april 17, 1996 and no bias to be applied. Jump probably due to the estimation of the bias until the end of 1996

X = 4194426.472 m Y = 1162694.080 m Z = 4647246.671 m

## GRAZ

78393402 5 1995289 HP5370A: Trigger Thresholds from 0.25/0.21 to 0.25/0.17 V

78393402 6 1996025 HP5370A+2xSR620 now measure parallel; not yet in results
78393402 7 1996030 All 3 Counter Results now fully used

78393402 1 1996254 Counter #4 (SR620) added for parallel measurements
78393402 5 1996271 Time Walk Compensation: New Adjustment
78393402 6 1996296 3 Counters only; last SR620 removed
78393402 8 1996351 4 Counters again: HP5370A + 3 x SR620

78393402 1 1997030 UTC(TUG) supplies 1 pps, 10 MHz again 78393402 2 1997034 SR620/#1 now as reference counter (instead of HP5370A)

78393402 8 1997114 SR620#3 removed; HP5370A+2xSR620 remain 78393402 9 1997126 SR620#3 added again; Now: HP5370A+3xSR620

78393402 0 2000213 HP5370A + all 3 SR620's replaced by Graz Event Timer

October 9, 2003 kHz ranging

#### Graz: residuals from solution ASI06

1 point every 15 days



#### 1 point every 15 days Station: 7839; Satellite: 7603901; 0.1 👞 bias<sub>s</sub>olve<sub>v</sub>2.out 0.08 Event timer drift? 0.06 ĠRAZ event timer 0.04 0.02 meters 0 -0.02 mean= 0.0060 mean= -0.0018 -0.04 -0.06 mean= -0.0143 -0.08 -0.1 2000 20042005 1985 1990 1993 199519961997 Vear Station: 7839; Satellite: 9207002; 0.1 bias<sub>s</sub>olve<sub>v</sub>2.out 0.08 GRAZ event timer 0.06 kHz<sup>i</sup>ranging 0.04 mean= 0.0142 mean= -0.0165 0.02 meters 0 -0.02 mean= 0.0028 -0.04 -0.06 -0.08 -0.1 -1992 1994 2000 2002 2004 2006 2008 1996 1997 1998 Year

#### **Graz: range residuals from solution ASI06**

13212S001 7840

#### Herstmonceux



Reference Position of the plot :

X = 4033463.690 m Y = 23662.520 m Z = 4924305.198 m

MT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:34



#### Herstmonceux: range residuals from solution ASI06

#### 14201S018 8834

#### Wettzell



Reference Position of the plot :

X = 4075576.818 m Y = 931785.497 m Z = 4801583.581 m

1 2007 Jan 25 13:53:39



-0.15

-0.2 L 

C value C delta Year 🕞 a priori

#### Wettzell: range residuals from solution ASI06

40445M001 7210

Haleakala



X = -5466006.635 m Y = -2404427.332 m Z = 2242187.803 m

## Haleakala: range residuals from solution ASI06

1 point every 15 days



10002S002 7845

#### Grasse



X = 4581692.125 m Y = 556196.104 m Z = 4389355.109 m

1 2007 Jan 25 13:53:24



#### Katsively



X = 3785944.509 m Y = 2550780.645 m Z = 4439461.369 m

GMT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:28



From ILRS web page: data before 1998.0 with biases (70 cm in 1993, 35 from 95 to 97)

#### Quincy

#### 40433M002 7109



X = -2517234.854 m Y = -4198556.195 m Z = 4076569.672 m

GMT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:52



#### 1995:001 Paroscientific barometer
#### Quincy: range residuals from solution ASI06

1 point every 15 days



#### Bejing



X = -2148760.503 m Y = 4426759.573 m Z = 4044509.654 m

21601S004 7249

MT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:40

21612M002 7355



Only 2003 data?



Reference Position of the plot :

X = 184591.896 m Y = 4606751.097 m Z = 4393756.593 m

GMT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:44

ITRF2005 Residuals analysis

42202M003 7403

#### Arequipa



telefence i ballon of the plot.

X = 1942808.023 m Y = -5804069.709 m Z = -1796915.514 m

2007 Jan 25 13:54:01

## Kunming





From ILRS web site: All data prior to 00:291:00000 had a scale bias due to a frequency error. A frequency error will drift and is not recoverable.

GMT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:42

#### 14106S011 7841

#### Potsdam



GMT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:38

ITRF2005 Residuals analysis

#### Potsdam: range residuals from solution ASI06



1 point every 15 days

#### **Borowiec**

#### 12205S001 7811



1993:202 Rb-frequency standard for PS-500 Timer (elimination of large range bias!) 2003:088 Discriminator B6 replaced by discriminator TENNELEC TC454 in stop channel

Reference Position of the plot :

X = 3738332.784 m Y = 1148246.542 m Z = 5021816.063 m

#### **Borowiec: range residuals from solution ASI06**



### Bar Giyyora



GMT 2007 Jan 25 13:53:39

ITRF2005 Residuals analysis

## Site range biases

V. Luceri – e-GEOS S.p.A.

## **Bias estimation**

- The biases are estimated with a long arc solution from jan 1983 to jul 2007 (ASI06)
- The solution is loose and SSC/SSV are estimated over the entire time span
- One bias estimate every 15 days after the SSC/SSV/EOP adjustment

# Herstmonceux coordinate time series from ITRF web page 13212S001 7840



#### Herstmonceux: ILRSA UEN residuals w.r.t. ITRF2000



Correction	reported	by the	station
------------	----------	--------	---------

Start Date	End Date	Correction to be subtracted
october 1, 1994	february 1, 2002	-2.5 mm
february 1, 2002	february 10, 2007	5.5 mm

### Herstmonceux: range biases from solution ASI06



# Remarks

- 8 mm jump at feb 1, 2002 not visible
- Jump at feb 2007 still not detectable
- Lageos-2 drift after feb 2007
- Biases from sep 15 1988 to 1993.0 have a drift
- Info on the biases before 1993?