

- □ Characteristics of Mt Stromlo System SLR ranging data;
 - Timing event epochs (using CSPAD).
 - Data collected in BRD files and processed when pre- and post-cals are available
 - Cal data is also collected in BRD files and processed as another target.
- □ What are the challenges?
 - Managing and applying calibration data
 - Identifying returns from satellites vs noise



Examples of reasonably strong, flat signals in moderate noise. Easy to identify and extract the required signal.





Examples of weak, flat signals in moderate noise. Still reasonably easy to identify and extract the required signal.





Example of a reasonable strong signal in strong noise. Still able to identify and extract the required signal.





Example of a weak signal in strong noise. Identification and extraction of the required signal is very difficult.





Examples of a signal in cloud noise. More difficult to identify and extract the required signal.





Examples of a weak signal in cloud noise. Very difficult to identify and extract the required signal.





Mt Stromlo SLR System **Autonomous** Schematic of Primary **Post Processing Automation Servers ILRS** Data Centres **Prediction Suppliers** (CDDIS, EDC, Space Track. (CDDIS, EDC...) NP and FR files Data Elements Report Distribution ^oreprocessor Generator Server CPF, TLE XML files files **Ranging System Post Processing** Server Scheduling Tracking Server Server **BRD** files **Schedule files**





BRD file post processing stream





Site based parameterization for post processor

-8 K:/Networks/WPLTN/Site/StromIo/siteDB_OnlySLR.xml File Help \varkappa Site Data Tree Site "Stromlo" A Ketworks Name Stromlo 🔺 📖 WPLTN Mt Stromlo, Canberra Location Site/locations **IERS** Domes 501195003 Latitude -35.31614357 deg Stromlo Longitude 149.00987995 deg Site SRP Height 805.0273 m 🔺 🛺 Systems Horizon 20 deg 🖌 🏭 SLF Excess Brightness 20 mag System SRP Owner Geoscience Australia 2006/01/01 00:00 **Owner Street** GPO Box 378 System Delay **Owner Locality** Canberra 🗯 Laser Subsystem **Owner State** ACT Enclosure Subsystem Owner Country Australia Data Processor **Owner Contact** Dr John Dawson **Owner Phone** Receive Detect +61 2 6249 9028 🚫 Start Detector **Owner Email** John.Dawson@ga.gov.au Mets Subsystem EOS Space Systems Operator Transmit Telescope Subsystem Operator Street Locked Bag No 5000 Receive Telescope Subsystem Operator Locality Weston Creek, 2611 Camera Subsystems **Operator State** ACT X Operator Country Australia Timer Subsystem Dr Chris Moore Operator Contact Time & Frequency Subsystem Operator Phone +61 2 62227979 Safety/Surveillance Subsystems **Operator Email** cmoore@eos-aus.com System Targets Site Targets Information STCABLE STN Accept

Site Database



Target Database

Target parameterization also used for post processing

K:/T	arg	ets/t	argetDB.xml						
	1001 F	ls	Select Analysis Help	0					
Target	Lis	t		Target Names	Target "stella"				
⊳	1	1	21574	ers1	Name	stella			
⊳	×.	1	21853	glonass53	Satellite Catalog Number	22824			
⊳	N.	1	22056	glonass56	Cospar	1993-061B			
⊳		1	22057	glonass57	Start Time	1993/9/26 00:00:00	* *		
⊳	1	1	22076	topex	Expiry Time	7999/12/31 00:00:00	*		
⊳	1	1	22195	lageos2	Launch Year	1993			
⊳		1	22779	gps35	Launch Number	61			
⊿		1	22824	stella	Launch Segment	2			
		6	Reflector		ILRS SIC	0643			
			Transponder		Description				
		2	Tracking Decomposition		Area	0.17	sq m		
	3	di M	Iracking Parameters		Visual Magnitude	0			
⊳	N.		22969	meteor36	Centre Of Mass Correction	0.0	mm		
⊳	1	1	23027	gps36	Signature	4.0	mm		
\triangleright		×,	23043	glonass62	Inclination	98.6	deg		
\triangleright		1	23044	glonass63	Minimum Altitude	795	Km		
\triangleright	Mark 1	1	23045	glonass64	Maximum Altitude	802	Km		
\triangleright		1	23203	glonass65	Debris				
⊳		1	23204	glonass66	SubTurne	Geodetic			
⊳		1	23205	glonass67	Gubrype	Count	•		
⊳		1	23396	glonass68	Owner	CNES			
⊳		1	23397	glonass69	Information				
⊳		1	23398	glonass70					
⊳	1	1	23511	glonass71		Accent			
	-	-		•		Accept			



System Calibration Database

Pre and post system calibrations required for post processing





Post Processing Steps – input range data





Post Processing Steps – after time bias sweep





Post Processing Steps – after Poisson filtering





Post Processing Steps – after polynomial filtering





Post Processing Steps – after polynomial fitting





Post Processing Steps – Normal Point Generation

📝 Prot	fits 7													23
🗹 File	e Options	Utilities H	elp Windows										_	δ×
×	3	e 1		1	3 🗋 🧭									
×					T C 🖉 🤞	₩ <u> </u>	0		! X 🤇	2				
Tools	×	į 🔎 🕕			4 0 B						1	<u>A.</u> () 🚺		B
1		jason2 20/09/2017 06:38 BRDV6 jason2										-		
6														
	T	0.04 -		<u> </u>		•••	•	• .			<u> </u>	-		
Z	DOR	- Jason2 20/09/2017 06:38 Normal Points												
er.	(BP	- ⁵⁰	:		Enoch	Pie	Index	Nettrad	Proc	Pasidual	Eit Pasidual	Accent	1	
	-	8 0.02		1	20/09/2017 06:44:56	ыл 1619	1ndex 339	12 No.0sed	16.1 ps	64.6094 ns	18.5 ps 1			
Sec.	$\overline{\mathbf{P}}$	Ĕ -	1 - 1 - C.	2	20/09/2017 06:45:06	1620	522	69	19.2 ps	63.7361 ns	-7.0 ps 1	Cancel		
ultim O milet		Ë -	• • •	3	20/09/2017 06:45:23	1621	779	95	20.9 ps	62.3484 ns	-21.4 ps 1	Help		
T	7	응 0-	- 77	4	20/09/2017 06:45:38	1622	1030	81	19.3 ps	61.1574 ns	10.7 ps 1	1 Include sum	mary statistics	
-		- gi		5	20/09/2017 06:45:51	1623	1256	74	17.9 ps	60.1457 ns	26.0 ps 1		Cot Dalance and here	
20	\mathbf{Z}	je je		6	20/09/2017 06:46:09	1624	1505	34	16.2 ps	58.8192 ns	1/.3 ps 1	0 📼	Set Release number	
0	U-	8.00		/	20/09/2017 06:46:22	1625	1052	50	20.4 ps	57.8501 ns	4/2 ts 1	0 🚔	Set quality number	
o,	M I	8-0.02 -	1.54	8	20/09/2017 00:40:50	1620	1052	51	19.2 ps	55 9927 pc	22.5 ps 1	Plot Prec	Plot precision	
	•0	~ 1		10	20/09/2017 06:40:30	1628	2240	27	16.8 ps	54 4233 ns	- 5.2 ps 1 12 fs 1		not precision	
X	11]	· ·	11	20/09/2017 06:47:18	1629	2303	10	20.1 ps	53,9481 ns	-17.1 ps 1	Plot R-P	Plot residuals	
	~ ~	-0.04		12	20/09/2017 06:47:40	1630	2506	34	18.2 ps	52.3883 ns	505 fs 1	Plot R	Plot ranges	
		0.01	۱ <u> </u>	13	20/09/2017 06:47:47	1631	2577	8	13.0 ps	51.9304 ns	4.6 ps 1			
			5	14	20/09/2017 06:48:11	1632	2794	22	17.3 ps	50.3040 ns	15.9 ps 1	Plot rBar	Plot mean fit residuals	
	7		96:4	15	20/09/2017 06:48:21	1633	2879	26	17.7 ps	49.6875 ns	38.9 ps 1	Plot r-rBar	Plot fit residuals	
121	12		20										View eviation accord exist data	
			201								•		view existing normal point data.	
	2		Sep	c	ž ž		Set	, k		Set		0		
	-		8	8	2 2		R	8		8		-0.04	-0.02 0 0.02 0.0	04
R	\sim					Time (Date)					Rang	e Residuals (nanoseconds))
	•	\\172.22.50.153\operations\Networks\WPLTN\Site\StromIo\Systems\SLR\Data\BRD\Processed\20170920\iason2_20170920_063811 brd												



- Analysis of differences between manually and automatically processed BRD files.
- Post processor server supports repeated reanalysis of BRD files.
- Allows comparison of results from ~20000 BRD files (obtained in 2017).
- Able to identify and focus on outliers.
- Provides average statistics.



























Summary & Plans

- Automated processing well developed.
 Refinements to remove spurious points.
 Switch publication of results from manually processed to automated.
- □ Continuous improvement to reduce lost points.



Binary Range Data files (*.BRD)

□ Captures raw data from the ranging system, including;

- Pass metadata
- Shot Events
- Mets, Cloud data
- Telescope Pointing
- Prediction Element(s)
- System State/Interlocks
- Current site database
- Current Target characteristics

□ Stored as serialized files using Google's Protocol Buffers.

□ Input to post-processing stream.

Binary Range Data files (*.BRD)



Protocol Buffers is used to serialize Ranging data into *.BRD files.

- BRD files <50% size of binary files and much smaller than XML etc.
- Support fast processing.
- Supports backward compatibility.
- Schema based. Maybe support sharing data.



Tip: Consider using Google's Protocol Buffers

Protocol buffers are Google's language-neutral, platform-neutral, extensible mechanism for serializing structured data. A good solution for capturing SLR shot data for subsequent processing. Protobuf files are compact and support fast processing. Content can be easily upgraded while maintaining backward compatibility. Experience has shown that protobuf files of ranging data are 50% (small files) to 80% (large files) smaller than even binary files with fewer fields, yet have the advantages of self-describing formats like JSON or XML.

See: <u>https://developers.google.com/protocol-buffers/docs/reference/overview</u>

"Protocol buffers are a flexible, efficient, automated mechanism for serializing structured data – think XML, but smaller, faster, and simpler. You define how you want your data to be structured once, then you can use special generated source code to easily write and read your structured data to and from a variety of data streams and using a variety of languages. You can even update your data structure without breaking deployed programs that are compiled against the "old" format."

Thought:

Protocol buffers require a *schema* for the definition of the data fields to be stored in protobul files. If adopted and the schema was common to all SLR stations, then it may be possible for SLR stations to read (and process) each other's ranging data files.

Could this be a good thing???