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- Proper analysis of tracking data needs
- Accurate, timely and reliable station status and station configuration information
- Accurate and reliable meta data
- Detection, identification, and quantification of various station-based error sources needs proper analysis
- In the context of the global network or clusters of stations
- Also through analysis of various time series (e.g., station heights)
- Feed-back to the stations needs to be timely, reliable, and coordinated
- Proper interpretation and use of such findings (both by stations and analysts) needs mutual discussions and decisions
- Errors caused by stations
- Random errors
- Outliers, gross errors
- Systematic errors
- Errors constant over a certain time
- Satellite-dependent (e.g., range-dependent, target structure- and energy-dependent)
- Configuration-dependent (e.g., different detectors in use)
- Wrong meta data
- Slowly varying errors
- Aging of equipment???
- Average ambient temperature, air pressure,...???
- Errors mainly in range (including applied calibration values), sometimes in the epoch or in the surface met values
- Station-related analysis errors may be caused by
- Wrong a priori coordinates
- Wrong local ties
- Wrong system corrections (e.g., target signatures)
- Wrong wavelengths
- Wrong weights
- Wrong station constraints
- Wrong a priori range corrections (e.g., Stanford!)
- Wrong a priori range biases
$\qquad$


## Levels of detection

- By the stations
- e.g., gross errors, large random errors, non-plausible values
- By operational centers
- e.g., format errors, non-plausible values
- By quick look analysis centers
- All of above, larger range and time biases
- By analysis and associate analysis centers
- All of above, smaller range and time biases
- Time series analysis of station positions and other parameters
- By combination centers
- Cross-examination of time series analyses $\rightarrow$ Detection and confirmation of smaller station problems


## Information Flow: Station to Analysis

- Basic station information
- Station logs
- Station configuration files
- Station change files
- Actual station information
- System change indicator
- System configuration indicator
- Data quality indicators: RMS, calib, \# of obs in np, ...
- SLRMails
- Data correction free format file at CDDIS Web ( not updated anymore)
Critical points:
- Is this information sufficient, useful, up-to-date, and reliable?
- Which information is actually used by the analysts?


## Information Flow: Analysis to Station $\boldsymbol{u}^{b}$

- Quick-Look Analysis (SLReport)
- Lageos 1+2: DGFI, MCC, HIT-U, SIO , Zwld Summary report
- Etalon 1+2, Starlette, Stella, Ajisai: HIT-U
- GNSS: CODE
- Short-Arc analysis (web-based)
- Lageos $1+2$, Stella, Ajisai: NERC
- Long-Arc analysis (web-based)
- Lageos 1+2, Etalon 1+2: NERC
- Statistics based on Quick-Look Analysis (web-based)
- Quarterly station report cards: ILRS CB
(Information mainly based on ILRS Analysis Report web page)


## Quick-Look Analysis

- Individual formats and procedures
- Difficult to interpret
- Significance level of range biases: 10 cm ?
- Fairly large discrepancies between centers
- Difficult to automatically and reliably detect range biases
$\rightarrow$ Summary report (daily: web-based, weekly:
SLReport)
$\rightarrow$ Does not solve the major problems


## Daily Summary Reports: Range biases? $\boldsymbol{u}^{b}$



## Daily Summary Reports: Large differences between centers

| 7090 YARL Yarragadee |  |  | sc | wl | DGFI |  | MCC |  | HIT-U |  | SAO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | rb |  | r | rb | pr | rb | pr | rb | pr |
| 7090 | 2007-09-06 | 11:07 |  | L2 | 532 | -27 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-06 | 16:03 | L1 | 532 | -3 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-08 | 04:42 | L1 | 532 | -24 | 2 | -14 | 2 | -5 | 4 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-08 | 07:56 | L2 | 532 | -55 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-08 | 11:21 | L2 | 532 | 8 | 3 | -27 | 1 | -3 | 2 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-08 | 16:50 | L1 | 532 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 12 | 1 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-08 | 20:29 | L1 | 532 | 162 | 6 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 2 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-08 | 23:50 | L1 | 532 | 107 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 00:59 | L2 | 532 | 17 | 7 | -3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 03:32 | L1 | 532 | -39 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 2 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 05:17 | L2 | 532 | -15 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 06:43 | L1 | 532 | 24 | 5 | -9 | 4 | -1 | 2 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 09:24 | L2 | 532 | 8 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 13:46 | L2 | 532 | -6 | 3 | -4 | 3 | -7 | 2 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 15:29 | L1 | 532 | 19 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 5 | 2 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 19:07 | L1 | 532 | -51 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 22 | 1 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 22:31 | L1 | 532 | -118 | 3 | 0 | 2 | -8 | 1 |  |  |
| 7090 | 2007-09-09 | 23:04 | L2 | 532 | 48 | 5 | -10 | 4 | 12 | 2 |  |  |

## Web-Based Analysis Reports

- NERC reports
- Graphics of np residuals for simultaneous passes
- In the differences between stations: Fairly sensitive!
- Are the alert mails to stations still generated?
- Who is routinely checking the plots?
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Station Zimmerwald Sotellite Logeos-1

7237 Changchun
7941 Matera
8810 Zimmer-IR
7810 Zimmerwald
7841 Potsdam

Mins after 18 hours

## Further Analysis Feed Back

- Presentations at Analysis Center meetings, Workshops and Symposia
- Sometimes very surprising and not very flattering (example: Jumps in the height of Zimmerwald)
- Large time lag
- Not reliable: Station may not be represented at these events
- Occasional direct contacts


## Further Information

- Plots and tables from official weekly analysis
- Example: JCET web-based summaries for all ACs and stations (e.g., time series of station positions)
- Difficult to find: ILRS Home $\rightarrow$ Data \& Products $\rightarrow$ Official ILRS Products $\rightarrow$ Inconspicuous link to JCET in the header of a table
- http:// geodesy.jcet.umbc.edu/ILRS_QCQA/index.html
- Are there other such places to go?
- Example: Range bias data base at http://maestro.obs-azur.fr/cgi-bin/query_mrb.pl, not active anymore?


## Example: ASI, 7810 ZIML: 423 or $846 ? \boldsymbol{u}^{b}$

7810 Zimmerwald ASI vs. ITRF2000 From ILRS-A


## Example: 7832 RIYL: Scale, offset?

## Problem areas

- Station $\rightarrow$ Analysis Centers
- Reliability and completeness of status information
- Is the current procedure appropriate?
- Too complicated?
- Is it properly used by the analysts?
- Analysis Centers $\rightarrow$ Stations
- Quick-look analysis: Complicated, difficult to interpret
- High-end analysis: Does not actually flow back to the stations, difficult to interpret, difficult to find
- No "digested" and coordinated feed-back available
- Analysis Centers $\leftarrow$ ? $\rightarrow$ Combination Centers
- Exchange of station status information
- Coordinated maintenance and use of such information


## Items to address

- What
- Outliers, gross errors
- Short-term range and time biases
- Medium-term range biases
- Long-term range biases
- Slowly varying systematic errors
- Intervals of malfunction
- How
- Historic and routine check for biases
- Correlation of biases/problems with system changes
- Historic and routine determination $\rightarrow$ verification of biases
- Routine use of pre-determined biases
$\rightarrow$ feed back
$\rightarrow$ mutual consent
pass-per-pass
pass-per-pass
weeks to months
years
any
any


## What do we need?

- Institutionalized information exchange between station responsibles and analysis centers
- Human information exchange
- Mainly E-Mail based
- On a rather "confidential" level, i.e., controlled distribution list
- Phone calls
- Meta data exchange, coordination, use
- Station logs, configuration, system changes ??
- Station system configuration file (exists!). Do we need a global file?
- Institutionalized control of the station performance, mainly regarding data quality $=$ systematic errors. (regarding random errors and data quantity see e.g., quarterly performance charts)
- Institutionalized determination of station biases and their coordinated introduction into ILRS (and other!) product generation
- Station data problem file (biases, data to exclude, ...)
- The Network and Engineering WG, the Analysis WG, and the Formats and Procedures WG form a small task force to prepare, define, and install concrete procedures and processes

